

2016 Step-by-Step Instructions for CONSENSUS REVIEW

What has happened so far:

Planning call 1	Several weeks ago, your team leader held the first planning call to review the entire timeline, the details of the Consensus Review process, and the ground rules for working with the team. Your participation and buy-in on the timeline, process, and ground rules were essential to a successful process. You also uploaded a brief Baldrige bio to the <i>Team Files</i> area on BOSS.
Completion of the Independent Review (IR) Scorebook	You completed the IR Scorebook in BOSS as an input to Consensus Review.
Moving to Consensus Review	At the end of Independent Review, the team leader <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • moved your team to the Consensus Review (CR) workspace in BOSS and completed the draft consensus Key Factors (KF) Worksheet there • notified you when the KFs were ready for feedback • reminded you about planning call 2 • assigned your consensus roles in BOSS

Where we are now:

Your Team's Goal	<p>Overall: write a feedback report detailing areas of strength and opportunities for performance improvement (OFIs) that will help your team's designated applicant move to the next level of performance excellence.</p> <p>During Consensus Review (CR): create a scorebook based on the Baldrige Excellence Framework, including the requirements of the Criteria for Performance Excellence, the Core Values and Concepts, and the Scoring Guidelines. This CR Scorebook, comprising comments and scores, represents the collective knowledge and analytical skills of a team of examiners using a systematic review process and is the basis for the feedback report.</p>
Your Roles	<p>As lead for one or more items, draft an initial set of comments and score the item, synthesize feedback from the entire team to refine comments, and draft new Item Worksheets.</p> <p>As a backup, provide feedback multiple times to the whole scorebook.</p> <p>As a team member, participate in virtual and consensus call discussions on all items to build consensus on the comments and scoring that the applicant ultimately receives.</p>

STEPS	ACTIONS
1. Log onto BOSS (https://www-s.nist.gov/boss).	Become familiar with the CR workspace. This includes the <i>Overview</i> page, which displays notifications from your team leader or the BOSS administrator, and the <i>Scorebook Progress</i> page, which shows the items assigned to leads and backups as well as the status of the items.
2. Review the draft consensus KF Worksheet.	Carefully review this worksheet and recommend changes or additions through BOSS or during the second planning call.
3. Participate in planning call 2.	Your team leader will finalize consensus assignments, review and ask for feedback on the KFs, describe the consensus process, review the team's agreed upon timeline and highlight next steps, and answer your questions.
4. Review the Criteria requirements for your assigned items.	Use the version of the Baldrige Excellence Framework (Business/Nonprofit, Education, or Health Care) that is appropriate for the application you received.
5. Open the BOSS workspace for your assigned item.	Access the item from either the <i>Scorebook Progress</i> page or the list of items on the <i>CR Worksheets</i> tab.
6. Select the most relevant four to six KFs for the item.	<p>Considering the Criteria requirements and the consensus KF Worksheet, determine the most relevant four to six KFs for this item. To increase relevance to the item, you may choose to include only a portion of a KF (e.g., one strategic challenge as opposed to the entire list). In BOSS, you may select a part or all of the KF. Limiting the number of KFs you select will help you to better focus your feedback to the applicant.</p> <div data-bbox="581 1570 1425 1696" style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p>BOSS Tip: To select noncontiguous parts of a KF, select them as two separate KFs. You may select more than six KFs if it is necessary in order to obtain more KF specificity.</p> </div> <p><i>The KFs, considered in relation to the key Criteria requirements, should help guide your expectations in evaluating the applicant's response.</i></p>

STEPS	ACTIONS
<p>7. Review the inputs from your teammates' IR Worksheets, and begin to determine commonalities and note conflicts.</p>	<p>At the bottom of the screen are the strengths and OFIs aggregated from your team's IR Worksheets for this item. Note which strengths or OFIs are doubled, signifying particular importance.</p> <p>Keeping the application, KFs, and Criteria in mind, look for multiple comments around the same area to address, and look for conflicts between strengths and OFIs. Also consider the outliers—those strengths/OFIs made by only one examiner—which sometimes provide significant insights into the applicant's performance.</p>
<p>8. Synthesize around six of the most relevant and important strengths and OFIs. Prioritize the strengths and OFIs. Complete the rationale field.</p>	<p>Determine the most important strengths and OFIs, around six in number. Note that more mature organizations may benefit from additional strengths and OFIs, while fewer strengths and OFIs may be more appropriate for less mature organizations. List the item reference(s) along with each one. If a strength or OFI is particularly significant in the evaluation of the applicant, mark it as a double. Use the evaluation factors (ADLI or LeTCl) to clearly determine why a process or result(s) is a strength or an OFI.</p> <p>When you have completed the strengths and OFIs, use the arrow in the field to the left of each one to rank them in order of importance to the applicant.</p> <p>In the rationale field, indicate why you included the strength or OFI in the worksheet (e.g., because it addresses a strategic challenge). Record the initials of the examiners who concurred. If there is a conflict among examiners, propose a resolution to the conflict and explain why you resolved it as you did. Remember that the rest of the members of the examiner team are the "customers" of the rationale field. Use the rationale box to document additional evidence and data that will be helpful to the team but not necessarily needed by the applicant in the comment.</p> <p>In the "Notes" field, record any key strengths or OFIs that you are not including in the "around six," along with the initials of the examiners who noted them. (Please do not list every comment not used.)</p> <p><i>As you are determining the "around six" strengths and OFIs, consider where it is appropriate to give the applicant the benefit of doubt.</i></p>

STEPS	ACTIONS
<p>9. Develop feedback-ready comments.</p>	<p>On the CR Worksheet, record a comment for each strength and OFI that is actionable and feedback-ready.</p> <p>In the first sentence of the comment, tell the applicant the “nugget” or main point of the feedback. Provide one or two examples that best support the main point, considering their relevance and importance to the applicant.</p> <p>For both strengths and OFIs, show relevance by tying the main point to one of the applicant’s important KFs. You can also ask, “What evaluation factor is relevant to that strength or OFI?” Thinking this way may further help you focus the comment on the importance to the applicant (e.g., if the important element of the comment is deployment, there may be no need to add text on approach, learning, and integration).</p> <p>Limit the length of the comments to the number of characters shown.</p>
<p>10. Finalize your comments using the Comment Guidelines.</p>	<p>Download the Comment Guidelines from the Reference Shelf on the Consensus Review page in the Examiner Resource Center: (http://www.nist.gov/baldrige/examiners/resource_center/consensus_review.cfm).</p> <p>Review your comments against the guidelines, and modify them as needed.</p>

STEPS	ACTIONS
<p>11. Determine and record a scoring range and score for the item.</p>	<p>To score the item, start by reviewing the comments for the item, noting their balance and importance relative to the item requirements and KFs.</p> <p>On the <i>Scoring</i> page for the item, review the range descriptions in the Scoring Guidelines and choose the scoring range that is, overall, <i>most descriptive</i> of the organization’s achievement level.</p> <p><i>Remember that the <u>applicant does not need to demonstrate all characteristics in the selected range</u>; rather, the score is based on a holistic view of the characteristics. Keep in mind that the “approach” dimension should not be viewed as a “gatekeeper” when determining the scoring range. In other words, it should not constrain or inflate the scoring range. All evaluation factors are to be considered in the selection of the scoring range.</i></p> <p>As a check, read the descriptions of the ranges above and below the selected range to determine where the applicant’s score falls within that range.</p> <p>Complete the block citing evidence that asks, “Why wasn’t this score in the scoring range above or below?” to help the team members understand your choice.</p> <p>Finally, determine a percentage score that is a multiple of 5 for the item. Select the percentage score at the lower right side of the <i>Scoring</i> page.</p> <p><i>Reminder: Consensus scores are based on the consensus comments, not the IR scores from individual examiners.</i></p>
<p>12. Follow the instructions for consensus.</p>	<p>Follow the process for consensus below. Be sure to e-mail your team members when you open an item for feedback.</p>

Review	Steps	Team Member Involvement				
		Item Lead (IL)	Item Backup (IBU)	TM	TM	TM
Draft 1	<p>Item lead:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Prepare a 1st draft of the CR Worksheet, synthesizing the inputs from all team members’ IR Worksheets and documenting your rationale for each comment. By e-mail, tell the item backup and team leader (TL) that the CR Worksheet is open for feedback. 	●	○	○	○	○
Review 1 Draft 2	<p>Item backup:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Using the Item Backup Comment and Scoring Checklist as a guide, review and provide feedback to the item lead. <p>Item lead:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Integrate changes as appropriate for 2nd draft. E-mail all team members and invite feedback on the worksheet. 	●	●	○	○	○
Planning Call 3	<p>TL:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Hold the third planning call to review team members’ role in providing feedback on all item worksheets and reinforce the preparation steps and expectations for the consensus calls. 	●	●	●	●	●

<p>Review 2</p> <p>Draft 3</p>	<p>Team members:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Using the Item Backup Comment and Scoring Checklist, review and provide feedback for each CR Worksheet. <p>Item lead:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Integrate changes as appropriate for the 3rd draft. E-mail all team members and invite feedback on the worksheet. 	<p>TL IL IBU TM TM TM</p> <p>● ● ● ● ● ●</p>
<p>Draft KTs</p>	<p>Key theme (KT) lead:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Use the CR Worksheets to develop an initial list of KTs. Complete the draft, and e-mail all team members and invite feedback on the KTs. 	<p>(KT lead only) TM TM TM TM</p> <p>● ○ ○ ○ ○</p>
<p>Review 3</p> <p>Draft 4</p>	<p>Team members:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Using the Item Backup Comment and Scoring Checklist, review and provide feedback for each CR Worksheet. Review and provide feedback for each CR Worksheet and the draft KTs. <p>Technical Editor (TE):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Review and provide feedback to the Team Leader, focusing on three areas: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Comments that conflict with each other (or may sound to the applicant like they do) Alignment of comments with scoring (e.g., reviewing the draft comments' language, including factual information from the application, to ensure they are not out of sync with the team's chosen scoring range) Consideration of applicant's view (e.g., might comments appear to be harsh/prescriptive/deny benefit of the doubt?) <p>Item lead:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Integrate changes as appropriate. 	<p>IL IBU TM TM TM TE</p> <p>● ● ● ● ● ●</p>
<p>Consensus Call</p>	<p>Item leads and KT lead:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Develop a script to help you present the item during the call. <p>Team members:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Prepare for the consensus call by reviewing all CR Worksheets. Participate in the consensus calls. Take notes on items you are backing up. 	<p>IL IBU TM TM TM</p> <p>● ● ● ● ●</p>
<p>Post-Consensus Call: Review 4 and Final Edits</p>	<p>Item lead and KT lead:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Integrate changes into the CR and KT Worksheets, based on the team's inputs. <p>Scorebook Editor/TL</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Review and edit the CR Scorebook to meet content and style guidelines. 	<p>IL IBU TM TM TM</p> <p>● ● ● ● ●</p>

STEPS	ACTIONS
<p>13. As part of the reviews in Step 12, all team members provide feedback on CR Worksheets.</p>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; margin-bottom: 10px;"> <p>BOSS Tip: For any item that is marked <i>Invite Feedback</i>, use the <i>Add Feedback</i> button to provide your input. You can sort the feedback by examiner as well as print a copy of the feedback.</p> </div> <p>Include the item reference in the feedback area for the strength or OFI to which you are referring, such as “a(1) S” or “b(2) O.”</p> <p>For the items you lead, review the feedback and revise comments as appropriate.</p> <p>The item lead modifies the rationale to indicate which feedback was used.</p>
<p>14. Team Leader: post the agenda for the consensus calls on BOSS.</p>	<p>Sample agenda:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Welcome • Agenda review • Schedule of item lead presentations • Round-robin process for the team to use in capturing feedback on all items • KTs • Review of item scores and determination of process/results scoring bands • Next steps
<p>15. Item leads: prepare to present items.</p>	<p>Prepare to review the Criteria requirements and KFs and to clarify any areas of disagreement. This will get the team ready to discuss the item.</p> <p>Be prepared to serve in additional assigned roles (e.g., Criteria cop, scorekeeper) and to provide specific points and recommendations on other items.</p>
<p>16. Participate in the consensus call: the team covers all items, including their scoring, and reviews and updates the KT Worksheet.</p>	<p>ALL team members must be present or the call cannot proceed.</p> <p>Team members: Listen for changes that may impact or conflict with other item- or KT-level comments, and bring them to the team’s attention.</p> <p>Item leads: Ensure that changes in your CR Worksheets are correctly reflected in the KT Worksheet.</p> <p>Item backups: Take notes for item leads. At the end of each topic, you may want to restate what you have heard.</p> <p>Item leads: Confirm that all team members agree on each item score and that the score is recorded correctly on each CR Worksheet.</p>

STEPS	ACTIONS
17. Review scoring.	<p>Scorekeeper: Ensure that the scores on the Score Summary Worksheet document (Excel spreadsheet) are consistent with the scores agreed on during the consensus calls. Include the Process and Results scoring bands agreed upon by the team. Upload document to BOSS Team Files after final confirmation of all scores at the end of the call.</p> <p>Team leader: Confirm Process and Results scoring bands on the Score Summary Worksheet. If the score is on the margin of two bands (within 10 points) and the team does not agree with BOSS’s automatic selection, change the band in BOSS and send an e-mail to the Award Process hotline (bossmail@nist.gov) saying that the team has selected the other band. The feedback report will reflect the band chosen by the team.</p>
18. Item and KT leads: revise comments to reflect consensus decisions.	<p>Item backups: Review the item lead’s CR Worksheet to help ensure that the revised comments and scores accurately represent the consensus discussion.</p> <p>Team leader: Review the KTs to ensure that all changes or updates have been made.</p>
19. Complete the scorebook by the deadline.	<p>Item leads: Finalize the CR Worksheets and mark Items “complete” in BOSS.</p> <p>Team leader: Review and edit the final CR Scorebook and mark the entire scorebook “final” in BOSS. Inform the Award Process hotline (bossmail@nist.gov) that the scorebook is final.</p>