

Controlling Fire Fighter Exposures to Fire Retardants



Dawn Bolstad-Johnson, MPH, CIH, CSP

Phoenix Fire Department

September 30, 2009



[Phoenix Fire]

- Dispatches approximately 145,000 emergency calls a year
- About 10% or 14,500 are fire related
- These include everything from food on the stove, to car fires, to fully involved structural fires

Phoenix Fire Department

- 57 Fire Stations
- 1677 members
 - 82 females
- 518 square miles
- Serving a population of 1.5 million



Preparing Firefighters

- 13 weeks of training
- PPE includes
 - Turnouts
 - SCBA
 - Boots
 - Gloves
 - Nomex Hood
 - Helmet



[Turnouts]

- Bunker gear/Turnouts only protect firefighters against thermal insult.
- Firefighters consider themselves “bullet proof” when wearing it.
- It is their SUPERMAN cape.

QuickTime™ and a decompressor are needed to see this picture.

Perceived Hazards at a Fire Scene

- Running out of air
- Becoming Lost/Trapped
- Structural collapse
- Falling through the roof/floor
- Flashover
- Thermal insult

[What we know]

- Typical house fires are not identified as potential “toxic hazards” by firefighters.



What we know

- On typical house fires, firefighters' perception of the overall hazard is low.
- “It’s just a house fire”.
- Built in “bias”
 - Houses are familiar territory
 - Smaller than the “big box” fires
 - Easier to escape
 - Less likely to get lost or run out of air.



[What we know]

- Chemical Hazards are not even on a firefighter's radar.
- Their turnouts are like a “superman cape”. Bullet proof.
- They are taught to have faith in their equipment and they do to a fault.

[Fire Overhaul Studies]

- 1998 Overhaul Study (Bolstad-Johnson, DM *et al*)
 - Went to 26 house fires
 - Sampled 17 analytes

- 1999 Overhaul Study (Burgess, JL *et al*)
 - 10 Fires in Phoenix
 - 10 Fires in Tucson
 - Biological Monitoring

Exposure Limits

| Chemical | OSHA | ACGIH | NIOSH | STEL | IDLH |
|-------------------|----------|---------|-----------|--------------|----------|
| Acetaldehyde | 200 ppm | --- | LF | 25 ppm (C) | 2000 ppm |
| Acrolien | 0.1 ppm | --- | 0.1 ppm | 0.1 ppm (C) | 2 ppm |
| Benzene | 1 ppm | 0.5 ppm | 0.1 ppm | 2.5 ppm | 3000 ppm |
| Carbon monoxide | 50 ppm | 25 ppm | 35 ppm | 200 ppm (C) | 1200 ppm |
| Formaldehyde | 0.75 ppm | -- | 0.016 ppm | 2 ppm | 20 ppm |
| Glutaraldehyde | --- | --- | --- | 0.05 ppm (C) | --- |
| Hydrogen chloride | --- | --- | --- | 5 ppm | 50 ppm |
| Hydrogen cyanide | 10 ppm | --- | --- | 4.7 ppm | 50 ppm |
| Isovaleraldehyde | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Nitrogen dioxide | --- | 3 ppm | --- | 1 ppm | --- |
| Sulfur dioxide | 5 ppm | 2 ppm | 2 ppm | 5 ppm | 100 ppm |

[1998 Overhaul Study]

- The following analytes exceeded published ceiling values:
 - Acrolein 0.1 ppm (at one fire)
 - CO - 200 ppm (at five fires)
 - Formaldehyde - 0.1 ppm (at twenty-two fires)
 - Glutaraldehyde - 0.05 ppm (at five fires)

Results from 1998 Study

- The following analytes exceeded published short term exposure limits (STEL):
 - Benzene - 1 ppm (at one fire)
 - NO₂ - 1 ppm (at two fires)
 - SO₂ - 5 ppm (at five fires)

Results from 1998 Overhaul Study

- 25 independent fires
 - 14 houses, 6 apartments, 5 commercial buildings
- There was tremendous variation observed in the concentrations of the sampled contaminants.
- Variation may be explained by the diverse nature of each fire, including contents, number of rooms, commercial vs. residential.

[1998 study]

- Average sample time = 30 minutes
- Average response time to the scene = 20 minutes
- Sample results were averages over 30 minutes - peaks were not identified.
- Results are most likely an under estimation of true firefighter exposures.

1999 Study (Burgess, JL *et al*)

25 Firefighters in
Tucson

26 Firefighters in
Phoenix

- Baseline biological monitoring
- Blood was drawn for serum pneumoprotein analysis.
- Following overhaul blood was analyzed for venous carboxyhemoglobin
- Pulmonary function

1999 Study (Burgess, JL *et al*)

- The study observed acute changes in spirometric measurements and lung permeability following fire overhaul.
- The changes were not prevented by the use of full-face cartridge respirators.

[Arson Investigator Study]

- Currently Phoenix Fire is conducting a study on our Arson Investigators to identify contaminant exposures and conduct a hazard assessment to determine appropriate PPE.
- Preliminary results indicate a presence of acetaldehyde and formaldehyde.

[Couch Burn]

- DVD

Evaluation of CBRN Canisters for Use by
Firefighters during Overhaul
2009 (*Currie, Caseman and Anthony*)

- 12 tests were conducted
- Measured breakthrough of challenge concentration
- Findings suggest that APR canister reduced overall exposures indicating that they could be used in fire overhaul if CO was controlled.

[Controlling Exposures]

- Heirarchy of controls
 - Engineering controls
 - Administrative controls
 - Personal Protective Equipment

[Got Engineering Controls????]



Challenges for Firefighters

- Most of the equipment is designed for one hazard not multiple hazards.
- Example: Fire Bunker Gear
 - Provides thermal protection but not chemical protection

[N95 Mask]

- Probably one of the most MISUSED forms of PPE by Emergency Responders.
- Protects against particulates and droplets like TB
- DOES NOTHING for CHEMICAL Exposures



Courtesy of CDC



Challenges for First Responders

- Identifying the hazard allows the responder to select appropriate hazard controls.
- There are not a lot of direct read instrumentation available to identify hazards on the fire scene.

[Conclusions]

- SCBA provides the best respiratory protection for all unknown chemical insults on the fire ground.
- Bunker gear provides protection from thermal insult only.
- A comprehensive study should be conducted to see how much of a chemical insult firefighters are exposed to on a typical fire.