

OSAC RESEARCH NEEDS ASSESSMENT FORM



Title of research need:

Describe the need:

Keyword(s):

Submitting subcommittee(s): **Date Approved:**

(If SAC review identifies additional subcommittees, add them to the box above.)

Background Information:

1. Does this research need address a gap(s) in a current or planned standard? (ex.: Field identification system for on scene opioid detection and confirmation)

2. Are you aware of any ongoing research that may address this research need that has not yet been published (e.g., research presented in conference proceedings, studies that you or a colleague have participated in but have yet to be published)?

3. Key bibliographic references relating to this research need:

- 2) Benschop, C. C. G., Haned, H., de Blaeij, T. J. P., Meulenbroek, A. J. & Sijen, T. Assessment of mock cases involving complex low template DNA mixtures: A descriptive study. *Forensic Sci. Int. Genet.* 6, 697–707 (2012). 9.
- 3) Watkins, D. R. L., Myers, D., Xavier, H. E. & Marciano, M. A. Revisiting single cell analysis in forensic science. *Sci. Reports* 2021 111 11, 1–12 (2021).
- 4) Findlay, I., Taylor, A., Quirke, P., Frazier, R. & Urquhart, A. DNA fingerprinting from single cells. *Nature* 389, 555–556 (1997).
- 5) Verdon, T. J., Mitchell, R. J., Chen, W., Xiao, K. & Van Oorschot, R. A. H. FACS separation of non-compromised forensically relevant biological mixtures. *Forensic Sci. Int. Genet.* 14, 194–200 (2015).
- 6) Vandewoestyne, M. & Deforce, D. Laser capture microdissection in forensic research: a review. *Int. J. Legal Med.* 124, 513–521 (2010).
- 7) Fontana, F. et al. Isolation and genetic analysis of pure cells from forensic biological mixtures: The precision of a digital approach. *Forensic Sci. Int. Genet.* 29, 225–241 (2017).
- 8) Williamson, V. R., Laris, T. M., Romano, R. & Marciano, M. A. Enhanced DNA Mixture Deconvolution of Sexual Offense Samples Using the DEPAArray System. *Forensic Sci. Int. Genet.* 34, 265–276 (2018).
- 9) Anslinger, K., Bayer, B. & von Máriássy, D. Application of DEPAArray technology for the isolation of white blood cells from cell mixtures in chimerism analysis. *Rechtsmedizin* 1–4 (2017). doi:10.1007/s00194-017-0221-7
- 10) Anslinger, K., Graw, M. & Bayer, B. Deconvolution of blood-blood mixtures using DEPAArray TM separated single cell STR profiling. *Rechtsmedizin* 29, 30–40 (2019).
- 11) Harrel, M., Gangitano, D. & Hughes-Stamm, S. The effects of extra PCR cycles when amplifying skeletal samples with the GlobalFiler® PCR Amplification Kit. *Int. J. Legal Med.* 133, 745–750 (2019).
- 12) Pfeifer, C. M., Klein-Unseld, R., Klintschar, M. & Wiegand, P. Comparison of different interpretation strategies for low template DNA mixtures. *Forensic Sci. Int. Genet.* 6, 716–722 (2012).
- 13) Grisedale, K. S. & van Daal, A. Comparison of STR profiling from low template DNA extracts with and without the consensus profiling method. *Investig. Genet.* 3, 1 (2012).
- 14) Weiler, N. E. C., Matai, A. S. & Sijen, T. Extended PCR conditions to reduce drop-out frequencies in low template STR typing including unequal mixtures. doi:10.1016/j.fsigen.2011.03.002
- 15) Duijs, F., Van De Merwe, L., Sijen, T. & Benschop, C. C. G. Low-template methods yield limited extra information for PowerPlex® Fusion 6C profiling. (2018). doi:10.1016/j.legalmed.2018.06.001
- 16) Gill, P., Whitaker, J., Flaxman, C., Brown, N. & Buckleton, J. An investigation of the rigor of interpretation rules for STRs derived from less than 100 pg of DNA. *Forensic Science International* 112, (2000).
- 17) Kloosterman, A. D. & Kersbergen, P. Efficacy and limits of genotyping low copy number DNA samples by multiplex PCR of STR loci.
- 18) Butler, J. M. & Hill, C. R. Scientific Issues with Analysis of Low Amounts of DNA. [Internet] (2010). Available at: <https://www.promega.com/resources/profiles-in-dna/2010/scientific-issues-with-analysis-of-low-amounts-of-dna/>. (Accessed: 10th April 2020)
- 19) Budowle, B., Eisenberg, A. J. & van Daal, A. Validity of Low Copy Number Typing and Applications to Forensic Science. *Croat. Med. J.* 50, 207–217 (2009).
- 20) Bessekri, M. W., Aggoune, A., Lazreg, S., Bucht, R. & Fuller, V. Comparative study on the effects of reduced PCR reaction volumes and increased cycle number, on the sensitivity and the stochastic threshold of the AmpFISTR Identifier 1 Plus kit. *Forensic Sci. Int. Genet. Suppl. Ser.* 4, PE306-E307 (2013).
- 21) Butler, J. M. *Advanced Topics in Forensic DNA Typing. Advanced Topics in Forensic DNA Typing: Methodology* (2012). doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-374513-2.00017-8

4. Review the annual operational/research needs published by the National Institute of Justice (NIJ) at <https://nij.ojp.gov/topics/articles/forensic-science-research-and-development-technology-working-group-operational#latest>? Is your research need identified by NIJ?

Indirectly yes, *“The ability to differentiate, physically separate, and selectively analyze DNA and/or cells from multiple donors or multiple tissue/cell types contributing to mixtures, with minimal or no sample loss”*

5. In what ways would the research results improve current laboratory capabilities?

Research into the use of single cells will permit less reliance on mixture analysis. This may lead to less complex, high confidence interpretation and conclusions. In addition, this will permit the correlation between the DNA profile generated and the tissue source used to generate the profile.

6. In what ways would the research results improve understanding of the scientific basis for the subcommittee(s)?

Gaining knowledge on the dynamics of low template analyses using PCR-based fragment analysis and DNA sequencing including, stochastic effects and detection thresholds will enable the committee members to make more informed recommendations for aspects of forensic analyses that involve these topics.

7. In what ways would the research results improve services to the criminal justice system?

Research into the use of single cells will permit less reliance on mixture analysis. This will lead to less complex, higher confidence interpretations conclusions. This type of analysis is in its infancy, and, with proper research support, these benefits can come to fruition.

8. Status assessment (I, II, III, or IV):

II

	Major gap in current knowledge	Minor gap in current knowledge
No or limited current research is being conducted	I	III
Existing current research is being conducted	II	IV

This research need has been identified by one or more subcommittees of OSAC and is being provided as an informational resource to the community.