

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39

# Forensic File Carving Tool Specification

**Draft Version 1.0 for Public Comment**



40  
41



43 **Abstract**

44

45 This document defines requirements for digital file carving forensic tools that extract and  
46 reconstruct files without examination of file system metadata. The specification is limited  
47 to tools that identify inaccessible (deleted or embedded) files from file data content. Such  
48 tools exploit the unique data signatures of certain file types to identify starting and ending  
49 data blocks of these file types. In addition, file system allocation policies often keep file  
50 data blocks contiguous and sequential. For such contiguous sequential block placement  
51 identification of starting and ending data blocks may be sufficient to carve complete files.  
52 In other non-contiguous or non-sequential block placement, file reconstruction by carving  
53 is problematic.

54



56 **CONTENTS**

57

58

59 1 Introduction ..... 1

60 2 Purpose ..... 2

61 3 Scope ..... 2

62 4 Definitions ..... 3

63 5 File Carving Background ..... 3

64 5.1 References (Informative)..... 4

65 6 Requirements..... 6

66 6.1 Requirements for Core Features..... 6

67 6.2 Requirements for Optional Features ..... 7

68

## 70 1 Introduction

71

72 There is a critical need in the law enforcement community to ensure the reliability of  
73 computer forensic tools. A capability is required to ensure that forensic software tools  
74 consistently produce accurate and objective results. The goal of the Computer Forensic  
75 Tool Testing (CFTT) project at the National Institute of Standards and Technology  
76 (NIST) is to establish a methodology for testing computer forensic software tools by  
77 development of general tool specifications, test procedures, test criteria, test sets, and test  
78 hardware. The results provide the information necessary for toolmakers to improve tools,  
79 for users to make informed choices about acquiring and using computer forensics tools,  
80 and for interested parties to understand the tools capabilities. Our approach for testing  
81 computer forensic tools is based on well-recognized international methodologies for  
82 conformance testing and quality testing. This project is further described at  
83 <http://www.cftt.nist.gov/>.

84

85 The CFTT program is a joint project of the Department of Homeland Security, the  
86 National Institute of Justice, and the NIST Law Enforcement Standards Office and  
87 Information Technology Laboratory. CFTT is supported by other organizations,  
88 including the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the U.S. Department of Defense Cyber  
89 Crime Center, U.S. Internal Revenue Service Criminal Investigation Division Electronic  
90 Crimes Program, U.S. Department of Homeland Security's Bureau of Immigration and  
91 Customs Enforcement, U.S. Customs and Border Protection and the U.S. Secret Service.  
92 The objective of the CFTT program is to provide measurable assurance to practitioners,  
93 researchers, and other applicable users that the tools used in computer forensics  
94 investigations provide accurate results. Accomplishing this requires the development of  
95 specifications and test methods for computer forensics tools and subsequent testing of  
96 specific tools against those specifications.

97

98 Frequently during a forensic examination, data is discovered on the target media that is  
99 not part of any active or visible file. Although this data can still be examined at the byte  
100 level (e.g., string searching), the higher-level information is not apparent. If the data  
101 associated with a particular file could be identified and examined in its usual presentation  
102 format for the given file type, e.g., as a picture or video, this may provide more complete  
103 information. An example of this would be where a graphics file, carved from unallocated  
104 space, could be viewed—potentially providing more information than a simple string  
105 search. Many of the forensic tools used by investigators identify files that have been  
106 deleted and allow the operator to recover them by file carving. This allows the  
107 investigator to examine the carved file in the original format (e.g., a graphics file viewer).

108

109 A fundamental problem is that the potential uncertainty present in any recovery effort  
110 leads to a reduced level of confidence in the information recovered. Specifically with file  
111 carving, the data recovered may be commingled with data from other deleted files,  
112 allocated files, or even from non-allocated space.

## 113 2 Purpose

114 This document defines the functional requirements for tools used within forensic  
115 investigations to carve files. That is reconstructing deleted or extracting embedded files  
116 based on file content.

117  
118 These requirements were developed through a combination of processes including but not  
119 limited to file carving research, personal interviews with forensic investigators, and  
120 informal discussions with individuals who are experts in the field of forensic  
121 investigation and depend on the results of file carving tools. Additionally, as this  
122 document evolves, feedback will be incorporated from a variety of sources, and will be  
123 posted to our web site at <http://www.cfft.nist.gov> for comments.

124  
125 These requirements are used to derive test assertions and test methods used to determine  
126 whether a specific tool meets the requirements. The assertions are described as general  
127 statements of conditions that can be checked after a test is executed. Each assertion  
128 generates one or more test cases consisting of a test protocol and the expected test results.  
129 The test protocol specifies detailed procedures for setting up the test, executing the test,  
130 and measuring the test results. The test assertions, test methods and test protocols are  
131 found in an accompanying document, *Forensic File Carving Tool Test Assertions and*  
132 *Test Plan*, located on the CFTT web site, located on the CFTT web site,  
133 <http://www.cfft.nist.gov/>.

134

## 135 3 Scope

136 The scope of this specification and requirements document is limited to software that is  
137 used for file carving. The proper or improper use of a tool is not within the scope of this  
138 specification.

139

140 The specifications and requirements for file carving are high-level, and are based on the  
141 following assumptions.

142

- 143 • The tools are used in a forensically sound environment.
- 144 • The individuals using these tools adhere to forensic principles and have control  
145 over the environment in which the tools are used.
- 146 • The carving tool input is a file or set of files that might be produced by a forensic  
147 acquisition tool acquiring digital media such as secondary storage or volatile  
148 memory.
- 149 • The files used test input to carving tools were created in a process that places file  
150 data blocks in a manner similar to how end-user activity would locate file data  
151 blocks.

152

## 153 4 Definitions

154 This section contains definitions of terms used in this specification document. Although  
155 there may be commonly accepted definitions for some of the terms, the context of this  
156 document may require a specific meaning.

157  
158 **Carved File:** A file created by a carving tool purported to be one of the source files  
159 present in the search arena.

160  
161 **Data Block:** File system specific data allocation unit (block), usually a multiple of 512  
162 bytes. Some file systems may use other terms to describe a *data block* such as,  
163 *cluster* in FAT file systems.

164  
165 **File Carving:** Reconstructing deleted files from unallocated storage or extracting  
166 embedded files from a container file, based on file content; file system metadata  
167 may be a secondary consideration or completely ignored.

168  
169 **File-footer signature:** A data string that identifies the end of a file. The string must be  
170 unique for a given file type. The string may begin anywhere within a data block.

171  
172 **File-header signature:** A data string that identifies the beginning of a file. The string  
173 must be unique for a given file type. The string usually begins on a data block  
174 boundary, but it may begin anywhere within a data block.

175  
176 **Metadata:** The associated periphery information or attributes that describe a file such as  
177 name, time-based metadata (creation, modification, and last accessed times),  
178 access rights, ownership, and location.

179  
180 **Search arena:** An acquisition file to be searched, e.g., the file obtained by acquiring  
181 unallocated space from a secondary storage device or acquiring primary memory  
182 from a running system. The search arena is composed of source file data blocks  
183 and other unspecified data blocks. A given source file may be complete,  
184 incomplete, fragmented, contiguous, sequential or non-sequential.

185  
186 **Source file:** One of several files used to construct the search arena. All or part of a source  
187 file might be used. A carving tool should return a carved file for each complete  
188 source file in the search arena. The carved file returned by the carving tool should  
189 be visually identical to the original source file.

190  
191

## 192 5 File Carving Background

193 File carving is widely used in digital investigations to extract information from  
194 unallocated storage. Usually file carving is applied to file types with a recognizable  
195 structure so that unallocated space can be scanned for file components that are  
196 reassembled into complete files. Under some conditions this is an easy task. If the file has



197 easily identified beginning and ending content and is contiguously allocated then carving  
198 is simple. However, the reality of file fragmentation complicates the task considerably.

199

200 Categories of files that are common targets of file carving include:

- 201 • Still Picture: JPG, GIF, PNG, BMP & TIF
- 202 • Videos: MP4, AVI, MOV, 3GP, OGV & WMV
- 203 • Audio: MP3, WAV, AU & WMA
- 204 • Document: DOC, DOCX, XLS, XLSX, PDF, PPT & PPTX,
- 205 • WEB: HTML, SQLite & chat
- 206 • Archive: ZIP, RAR, 7Z, GZ & TAR
- 207 • Misc: exec, logs, etc.

208

209

210 For the most part, common file system block allocation policies assist in the recovery of  
211 data on the drive, regardless of the type of file system the data resides on. Files can be  
212 completely recovered if at least three conditions are present:

213

- 214 1. There is a uniquely identifiable start data block.
- 215 2. The file is contiguously and sequentially allocated.
- 216 3. There is a uniquely identifiable final data block.

217

218 Several problems may occur in practice that file carving tools might be required to deal  
219 with:

220

- 221 • Not all file types have a uniquely identifiable final data block and may require  
222 tools to guess where the end of the file is located.
- 223
- 224 • If a complete source file is present in the search arena, but the file is  
225 fragmented then the carving tool needs to be capable of identifying all file  
226 fragments and assembling the fragments in the correct order. This is not an  
227 easy task and may not be possible in many cases.
- 228
- 229 • If a source file is incomplete within the search arena then it may be possible  
230 to assemble the first or last part of a file from the available data, but this may  
231 not be possible in many cases.

232

## 233 **5.1 References (Informative)**

234 It is important to note that these references are primarily informative.

235

236 Carrier, (2003). "File System Analysis Techniques: Sleuth Kit Reference Document."  
237 Available at [http://www.sleuthkit.org/sleuthkit/docs/ref\\_fs.html](http://www.sleuthkit.org/sleuthkit/docs/ref_fs.html).

238

239 Crane, (1999). "Linux Ext2fs Undeletion mini-HOWTO." Available at  
240 <http://www.tldp.org/HOWTO/Ext2fs-Undeletion.html>.

241  
242 Erdelsky, (1993). "A Description of the DOS File System." Available at  
243 <http://www.alumni.caltech.edu/~pje/dosfiles.html>.  
244  
245 Himmer, (2000). "File Systems HOWTO." Available at  
246 <http://www.faqs.org/docs/Linux-HOWTO/Filesystems-HOWTO.html>.  
247  
248 Microsoft, (2004). "Description of the FAT32 File System." Available at  
249 [http://support.microsoft.com/default.aspx?scid=http://support.microsoft.com:80/support/k](http://support.microsoft.com/default.aspx?scid=http://support.microsoft.com:80/support/kb/articles/q154/9/97.asp&NoWebContent=1)  
250 [b/articles/q154/9/97.asp&NoWebContent=1](http://support.microsoft.com/default.aspx?scid=http://support.microsoft.com:80/support/kb/articles/q154/9/97.asp&NoWebContent=1).  
251  
252 NIST, (2004). "General Test Methodology for Computer Forensic Tools," Available at  
253 <http://www.cfft.nist.gov/>.  
254  
255 Anandabrata Pal and Nasir Memon. (2009, March) [www.smartcarver.com](http://www.smartcarver.com). [Online].  
256 [www.smartcarver.com/technology/research/pubs/ieee-spm-2009.pdf](http://www.smartcarver.com/technology/research/pubs/ieee-spm-2009.pdf)  
257  
258 Antonio Merola. (2008, November) [www.sans.org](http://www.sans.org). [Online].  
259 [http://www.sans.org/reading\\_room/whitepapers/forensics/data-carving-concepts\\_32969](http://www.sans.org/reading_room/whitepapers/forensics/data-carving-concepts_32969)  
260  
261 Brian Carrier, Eoghan Casey, and Venema Wietse. DFRWS 2006 Forensics Challenge  
262 File Image Layout. [Online]. <http://dfrws.org/2006/challenge/layout.shtml>  
263  
264 Brian Carrier, Eoghan Casey, and Venema Wietst. DFRWS 2007 Forensics Challenge.  
265 [Online]. <http://dfrws.org/2007/challenge/layout.shtml>  
266  
267 Nicholas A. Mikus. Basic Data Carving Test #1. [Online].  
268 <http://dfft.sourceforge.net/test11/index.html>  
269  
270 Nicholas A. Mikus. Basic Data Carving Test #2. [Online].  
271 <http://dfft.sourceforge.net/test12/index.html>  
272  
273 S.J.J. Kloet, "Measuring and improving the quality of file carving methods," Department  
274 of Mathematics and Computer Science, Eindhoven University of Technology, Almere,  
275 Master's Thesis 2007.  
276  
277 Simson Garfinkel, Paul Farrell, Vassil Roussev, and George Dinolt, "Bringing science to  
278 digital forensics with standardized forensic corpora," in DFRWS, Montreal, 2009, pp. 2-  
279 11.  
280  
281 S. Garfinkel, "Carving Contiguous and Fragmented Files with Fast Object Validation," in  
282 Proceedings of Digital Forensic Research Workshop (DFRWS), Pittsburg, 2007, pp. 2-  
283 12.  
284

285 G. Richard Golden III and Vassil Roussev, "Scalpel: A Frugal, High Performance File  
286 Carver," in Proceedings of Digital Forensics Workshop (DFRWS), New Orleans, 2005,  
287 pp. 1-10. [Online]. roussev.net/pdf/2005-DFRWS--scalpel.pdf

288

289 Ahmed Patel, Mustafa Mat Deris Kamaruddin Malik Mohamad, "Carving JPEG Images  
290 and Thumbnails Using Image Pattern Matching," in 2011 IEEE Symposium on  
291 Computers & Informatics, Kuala Lumpur, 2011, pp. 78-83.

292

293 Anabadrata Pal, Husrev T Sencar, and Nasir Memon, "Detecting file fragmentation point  
294 using sequential hypothesis testing," in Proceedings of the Digital Forensic Research  
295 Workshop (DFRWS), Baltimore, 2008, pp. 2-13.

296

297 Husrev T Sencar and Nasir Memon, "Identification and recovery of JPEG files with  
298 missing fragments," in DFRWS, pp. 88-98.

299

300 Kamaruddin Malik Mohamad, Ahmed Patel, Tutut Herawan, and Mustafa Mat Deris,  
301 "myKarve: JPEG Image and Thumbnail Carver," Journal of Digital Forensic Practice,  
302 vol. 3, no. 2-4, pp. 74-97, January 2010.

303

304 Simson L Garfinkel, Aleatha Parker-Wood, Daniel Huynh, and James Migletz, "An  
305 Automated Solution to the Multiuser Carved Data Ascription Problem," IEEE  
306 Transactions on Information Forensics and Security, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 868-882, December  
307 2010.

308

## 309 **6 Requirements**

310 The requirements section is divided into two parts. The first, *Requirements for Core*  
311 *Features*, are those features that should be present in all tools. The second is the  
312 *Requirements for Optional Features*. These features, on the condition they are present,  
313 are used to report on the tool capabilities. If a feature is not present, then requirements  
314 for those features will not be tested.

### 315 **6.1 Requirements for Core Features**

316 All file carving tools must support the following requirements.

317

318 **FC-CR-01** The tool shall return one carved file for each supported file header  
319 signature from a source file that is present in the search arena.

320

321 **FC-CR-02** A carved file shall only contain data blocks from the search arena.

322

323 **FC-CR-03** All data blocks in a carved file shall originate in a single source file.

324

325 **FC-CR-04** The file type of a carved file shall match the file type of its contents.

326

327 **FC-CR-05** The tool shall return carved files in a state that conforms to a valid file of  
328 the carved file type.

329

330 **6.2 Requirements for Optional Features**

331 No optional features are identified at this time.

332