

January 9, 2009

Forensic Storage Media Preparation Tool Specification

Draft 1 for Public Comment of Version 1.0

Abstract

Storage devices, such as disk drives, are often reused from one investigation to the next. An investigator needs to ensure that data from one investigation does not inadvertently become included in another investigation. Before a storage device is used in an investigation the storage device needs to be prepared in a forensically sound manner for use by overwriting the user data areas with forensically benign data.

This paper defines requirements established by the Computer Forensic Tool Testing Project at the National Institute of Standards and Technology for the preparation of storage devices used in a forensic examination of digital data. These requirements are used to derive test assertions and test methods used to determine whether a specific tool meets the requirements. The assertions describe specific statements of conditions that can be checked after a test is executed. Each assertion generates one or more test cases consisting of a test protocol and the expected test results. The test protocol specifies detailed procedures for setting up the test, executing the test, and measuring the test results.

As this document evolves updated versions will be posted at <http://www.cfft.nist.gov>

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract.....	iii
1. Introduction.....	1
2. Purpose.....	1
3. Scope.....	2
4. Background.....	2
5. Requirements	3
5.1 Core Requirements.....	3
5.2 Requirements for Optional Features	3

1

2 **1. Introduction**

3 There is a critical need in the law enforcement community to ensure the reliability of
4 computer forensic tools. A means is required to ensure that forensic tools consistently
5 produce accurate, repeatable and objective test results. The goal of the Computer
6 Forensic Tool Testing (CFTT) project at the National Institute of Standards and
7 Technology (NIST) is to establish a methodology for testing computer forensic tools by
8 development of general tool specifications, test procedures, test criteria, test sets, and test
9 hardware. The results of this working methodology provides information necessary for
10 toolmakers to improve their tools, for users of these tools to make informed choices about
11 acquiring and using computer forensic tools, and for interested parties to better
12 understand a tool's capabilities. Our approach for testing computer forensic tools is
13 based on well-recognized international methodologies for conformance testing and
14 quality testing. The materials and description of this project are located at:
15 <http://www.cftt.nist.gov/>.

16
17 The Computer Forensic Tool Testing program is a joint project of the National Institute
18 of Justice (NIJ), the research and development organization of the U.S. Department of
19 Justice, and the National Institute of Standards and Technology Office of Law
20 Enforcement Standards (OLES) and Information Technology Laboratory (ITL). CFTT is
21 supported by other organizations, including the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the U.S.
22 Department of Defense Cyber Crime Center, U.S. Internal Revenue Service Criminal
23 Investigation Division Electronic Crimes Program, U.S. Department of Homeland
24 Security's Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, U.S. Customs and Border
25 Protection and the U.S. Secret Service. The objective of the CFTT program is to provide
26 measurable assurance to practitioners, researchers, and other applicable users that the
27 tools used in computer forensics investigations provide accurate results. Accomplishing
28 this requires the development of specifications and test methods for computer forensics
29 tools and subsequent testing of specific tools against those specifications.

31 **2. Purpose**

32 Storage devices, such as disk drives, are often reused from one investigation to the next.
33 An investigator needs to ensure that data from an earlier investigation does not
34 inadvertently become included the current investigation. Before a storage device is used
35 in an investigation the device needs to be *prepared* for reuse in a forensically sound
36 manner by overwriting the user data areas with benign (intended) data.

37
38 This paper defines requirements established by the Computer Forensic Tool Testing
39 Project at the National Institute of Standards and Technology for the preparation of
40 digital storage devices used in a forensic examination of digital data. The storage device
41 would be attached either to a computer or another electronic device for erasure.

42
43 These requirements are used to derive test assertions and test methods used to determine
44 whether a specific tool meets the requirements. The assertions are described as general

45 statements of conditions that can be checked after a test is executed. Each assertion
46 generates one or more test cases consisting of a test protocol and the expected test results.
47 The test protocol specifies detailed procedures for setting up the test, executing the test,
48 and measuring the test results. The test assertions, test methods and test protocols are
49 found in an accompanying document, *Forensic Media Preparation Tool Test Assertions*
50 *and Test Plan*, located on the CFTT web site, <http://www.cftt.nist.gov/>.

51 **3. Scope**

52 This specification defines requirements for tools that overwrite or erase storage devices
53 intended for reuse within an organization. These requirements are not for recycling or
54 disposal of digital media. If digital media is being released, recycled or otherwise
55 disposed of from an organization see NIST Special Publication SP 800-88, *Guidelines for*
56 *Media Sanitization* ([http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/nistpubs/800-88/NISTSP800-](http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/nistpubs/800-88/NISTSP800-88_rev1.pdf)
57 [88_rev1.pdf](http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/nistpubs/800-88/NISTSP800-88_rev1.pdf)) for guidance.

58
59 These requirements only cover the final result of the tool operation. Desirable features
60 that are problematic to test are not included. Omission of such features from these
61 requirements does not imply that the features should not be implemented in actual tools.
62 For example, one such desirable feature is for the tool to include a verify phase to check
63 that the drive actually has been overwritten. However, to test that the tool can detect that
64 part of a drive has not actually been overwritten would require that there exists a
65 capability to either make the overwrite of original data fail or to allow modification of
66 drive contents between the overwrite phase and the verify phase. Such a capability is
67 unlikely and undesirable since it endangers the integrity of tool operation.

68

69 Forensic media preparation for internal reuse within an organization assumes the
70 following:

71

- 72 • An active effort to recover overwritten data is not occurring. In other words, since the
73 digital storage device is staying within the same organization any data on the device
74 was already accessible.
- 75 • Although some tools may include features to detect improper storage device
76 operation, the primary use of these tools is to overwrite the existing data on the
77 storage device, not to determine if the storage device is working properly. In other
78 words, testing if a tool can determine if a storage device is in working order is beyond
79 the scope of these requirements.

80

81 **4. Background**

82 The storage device used to contain digital data or digital evidence during a forensic
83 examination should be *initialized* to contain forensically benign data such as binary zeros.
84 Other forensically benign data that may be used to overwrite storage include either a
85 fixed data pattern or random data. Any residual data should be overwritten so that there is
86 no possibility of inadvertent inclusion of unrelated data from a storage device into an
87 investigation.

88
89 Digital storage devices can be initialized by either overwriting all data areas with
90 forensically benign data or by using the built-in commands of a hard drive to erase all
91 data. A digital storage device may be attached to a host computer by one of several
92 interfaces. These include ATA (AT Attachment), SATA (Serial ATA), eSATA (External
93 Serial ATA), SCSI (Small Computer System Interface), USB (Universal Serial Bus), and
94 FireWire. For ATA and SATA hard drives, the SECURITY ERASE UNIT command
95 (see <http://www.t13.org>) overwrites a hard drive. A similar command, ERASE, is defined
96 for the SCSI interface (see <http://www.t10.org>). Additional discussion of disk drive
97 sanitization and erasure can be found in *Tutorial on Disk Drive Data Sanitization*
98 (<http://cmrr.ucsd.edu/people/Hughes/DataSanitizationTutorial.pdf>) and *CMRR Protocols*
99 *for Disk Drive Secure Erase*
100 (<http://cmrr.ucsd.edu/people/Hughes/CmrrSecureEraseProtocols.pdf>).
101
102 ATA hard drives may have hidden data areas that must be made visible by commands
103 sent to the hard drive. A *device configuration overlay* (DCO) may be present that makes
104 the drive appear smaller than the real drive capacity. In addition, a *host protected area*
105 (HPA) may be defined either alone or on top of a DCO to create a hidden area on a hard
106 drive. If a DCO or HPA is present on a storage device, then any command that tries to
107 read or write data to a sector within the hidden area aborts with an indication of *invalid*
108 *address*. Forensic media preparation tools may provide an optional feature to overwrite
109 hidden areas of a drive.

110 **5. Requirements**

111 This section lists requirements for forensic media preparation.
112

113 **5.1 Core Requirements**

114
115 **FMP-CR-01.** All visible sectors shall be overwritten.

116 **5.2 Requirements for Optional Features**

117 Three optional features are identified: hidden area overwriting, overwrite command
118 selection, and overwrite pattern selection.

119 **5.2.1 Hidden area overwriting requirements**

120
121 **FMP-RO-01** If the tool supports overwriting hidden sectors, then all sectors contained
122 in a hidden area shall be overwritten.

123 **FMP-RO-02** If a hidden area exists on the storage device the tool may optionally
124 remove the hidden area from the storage device.

125 **5.2.2 Overwrite command selection requirements**

126 Note that in these requirements the phrase *ERASE command* refers to both the ATA
127 SECURITY ERASE UNIT command and the SCSI ERASE command.

128

129 **FMP-RO-03** If the tool supports selection of a command for overwriting and the
130 selected storage device supports an ERASE command for overwriting, then the tool
131 shall allow selection of the ERASE command.

132 **FMP-RO-04** If the ERASE command is selected and the disk drive does not support the
133 command, then the tool shall indicate to the user that the command is not supported.

134 **5.2.3 Overwrite pattern selection**

135 **FMP-RO-05** If an overwrite pattern is selected then the selected pattern is used for
136 overwriting.