

Leveraging Moot Court Class Activity to Foster Understanding of Technical Standards

Colin K. Drummond, PhD, MBA Matthew R. Williams, PhD Daniela Solomon, MLIS







Academic Educational Environment

- ABET Requirement
 - Curriculum does not allow for in-depth teaching of standards
 - Limited expertise in teaching standards in academia
 - Standards mostly used in capstone projects (if at all)
 - Limited access to standards due to prohibitive costs
- Industry Expectations



Academic Educational Environment Issues

- Standards and regulatory affairs instruction is rushed
 - "dull" topic
 - not critical knowledge
 - key engineering concepts are under-emphasized
 - poor student engagement
 - lack of incorporation of concepts
- Students not always understand the value of standards to profession
- Students do not understand the importance of standards to their careers



Moot Court Cases for Standards Education

- Moot court is simulated court proceedings
- Allow for:
 - students immersion
 - self-direction
 - creativity
 - teamwork building
 - critical thinking
 - research
- Provide perspective to standards and regulations
- Applicable across engineering disciplines and topics



Fun activity for students





- Improved understanding of:
 - regulatory language (12%)
 - the applicability of quality systems to labeling requirements (11.3%)
 - the responsibility of end users for cybersecurity (10%)
 - confidence in understanding the role of standards (16%)
 - confidence in their foundational knowledge of BME regulatory affairs (12%)









Self-reported rate of 3:1 in favor of the exercise

- "knowledge easily acquired in a more organic way"
- · "exposes us to an important aspect of engineering aside from purely math and science"
- "it's one thing to learn about these standards in a vacuum but seeing first hand how they can be applied and used to cover many angles was incredibly valuable in seeing the lack of my comprehension



The court decision is not important



Standards Moot Court Cases Implementation

- Class discussions on standards
- Library presentation on standards availability
- Introducing the case and selection of moot court volunteers (~10 students serving in various court roles - lawyers, plaintiff, defendant, and witnesses)
- Dedicated class time for moot court activity (50 min)
- Active class participation
- Pre and post event survey

Moot Court Cases Implementation

- solid plan for the session
- multiple volunteers comfortable with public speaking
- enough time for volunteers to do research
- incentives for volunteers
- real-time quiz for observers due at the end of the session



Moot Court Cases Implementation Challenges

- Familiarity with moot court practice
- Appropriate room setting
- Availability of volunteers
- Availability of good moot court cases



Recommendations for Moot Court Cases

- Real-world cases revised to remove identifiable details and relevant to specific engineering field
- Cases should provide rich detail for context but have ambiguous endpoints to allow for exploration
- Research materials can be included in the overall module, but students should be encouraged to look beyond the materials provided



Call for Action

- We are actively seeking partners from Standards Developing Organizations to join us and co-develop a set of case studies that will enhance the awareness, knowledge, and importance of industry standards
- Curriculum materials developed for these moot court exercises are available under the Creative Commons license, see https://osf.io/79s6z/



Acknowledgments

- Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number R25-EB014774-07
- National Institute of Standards and Technology under Award Number 70NANB21H176
- The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health or the National Institute of Standards and Technology



