1 2	NGT National Institute of Standards and Technology • U.S. Department of Commerce					
3		<b>Management Observation Process</b>				
4		NIST S 7101 05				
6		Issue Date: 08/08/2019				
7		Effective Date <sup>1</sup> : $04/01/2020$				
8						
9						
10	1.	PURPOSE				
11		The purpose of this suborder is to establish the requirements and associated responsibilities				
12		for a Management Observation Process (MOP) to help prevent workplace injuries, illnesses,				
13		and other incidents to improve safety of operations and the workplace.				
14						
15	-					
16	2.	BACKGROUND				
17		The Management Observation Process (MOP) is an element of the NIST safety management				
18		system designed to promote safe operations and continual improvement by facilitating an				
20		management. The purpose of the MOP is to: (1) help prevent injuries, illnesses, and incidents				
20		by increasing dialogue with workers on creating a safe workplace: (2) observe workers'				
22		behaviors without threat of punishment: and (3) provide positive reinforcement of safe work				
23		practices and behavior. The MOP also provides an opportunity for managers to demonstrate				
24		commitment to safety by regularly engaging staff in conversations about safety and by				
25		supporting improvements to workplace safety.				
26						
27						
28	3.	APPLICABILITY				
29		The provisions of this suborder apply to all OU Directors and subordinate managers at all				
30		NIST workplaces.				
31						
32	4	DEFEDENCIES				
33 24	4.	REFERENCES Public Low 01 506 (Williams Staigar) Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1070:				
34 25	а.	Tuone Law 71-390, (winnams-steiger) Occupational safety and meanin Act of 1970,				
36	h	Executive Order 12196. Occupational Safety and Health Programs for Federal Employees				
37	0.	Entremente entre 12176, etemparional salety and freaten frequents for freedour Employees,				
-						

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For revision history, see Appendix A.

38 39 40	c.	29 Code of Federal Regulations (C.F.R.) Part 1910, Occupational Safety and Health Standards;					
41 42	d.	29 C.F.R. Part 1926, Safety and Health Regulations for Construction; and					
43 44 45	e.	29 C.F.R. Part 1960, Basic Program Elements for Federal Employee Occupational Safety and Health Programs and Related Matters.					
46							
47	5.	APPLICABLE NIST DIRECTIVES					
48 49	a.	NIST O 7101.00: Occupational Safety and Health Management System; and					
50 51 52	b.	NIST S 7101.20: <i>Work and Worker Authorization Based on Hazard Reviews</i> .					
53	6.	REQUIREMENTS					
54	a.	All NIST managers shall participate in the OU's MOP program.					
55							
56 57	b.	Each OU shall develop a MOP program that specifies:					
57 58 59		(1) The frequency of annual MOP visits for each level of manager;					
60 61		(a) The following should be considered in determining the frequency of visits:					
62 62		i. The nature of work conducted and, specifically, the hazards present;					
03 64		ii Pata of ahango in the type of work procedures used materials used needle					
65		performing work; and					
66							
6/		111. Level of engagement required for managers to maintain an appropriate level					
68		of knowledge concerning sale work practices, including hazard mugation					
69 70		strategies and controls used, and of safety improvement needs.					
70 71		(2) The plan for coverage with respect to locations, activities, and staff including supervisors:					
72		(2) The plan for coverage with respect to focations, activities, and start including supervisors,					
72		<b>Note</b> . Managers should strive to ensure that MOP interactions with all staff occur within					
74		a reasonable timeframe. Priority should be given to more hazardous activities and					
75		work locations.					
76							
77							
78							

79 80		(3) The process for:
80 81 82		<ul> <li>(a) Tracking to completion any recommended or required improvement(s) as well as any corrective action(s) identified; and</li> </ul>
83 84 85		(b) Communicating the results of those actions to MOP participants; and
85 86 87		(4) The requirement for line management accountability.
88 89	c.	The MOP shall be conducted in a manner that:
90 91 92		(1) Promotes open discussion without fear of reprisal among staff and managers regarding safety of operations and workspaces; and
93 94 05		(2) Leads to better understanding of the safety aspects of the specific work being conducted and the workplace in which the work is conducted.
95 96 97	d.	The MOP shall be performed in work locations where managers can observe and discuss work practices and workplace conditions.
98 99 100		(1) In locations where the conduct of work is covered by an approved hazard review or JHA, managers should:
101 102 103		(a) Ensure the hazardous work is covered by a hazard review or JHA; and
104 105		(b) Discuss the adequacy of the controls used to mitigate hazards.
106 107 108		(2) Where work is primarily office-based, managers should discuss implementation of office safety and general safety requirements.
109 110 111 112	e.	Managers shall work with staff to identify opportunities for improving workplace safety by identifying preventive actions that focus on hazard reduction and risk mitigation and provide support for these improvements.
112 113 114 115		(1) When a weakness or poor practice is observed, managers should recommend or require, as appropriate, safety improvements without taking punitive measures.
116 117	f.	Managers shall recognize and promote best safety practices and safe behaviors observed or discussed during MOP visits by:
118		(1) Providing positive feedback to MOP participants; and

120		(2) Where appropriate, communicating support for the observed practices and behaviors
121		more widely ( <i>e.g.</i> , at staff meetings, via emails, through newsletters).
122		
123	-	DEFINITIONS
124	7.	DEFINITIONS $(f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4, f_3, f_4, f_4, f_4, f_4, f_4, f_4, f_4, f_4$
125		There are no definitions specific to this suborder. Please see NIST O /100.00 for other
126		definitions.
127		
128	0	
129	δ.	ACRONYMS
130		a. MOP – Management Observation Process
131		
132	0	DALES AND DESDANCIDII ITES
133	9.	OU Directory
134	a.	OU Directors.
125		(1) Ensure MOP is implemented within their OU
127		(1) Ensure MOF is implemented within then OO.
120		(2) Ensure line managers are accountable for implementing MOP in their areas
130		(2) Ensure fine managers are accountable for implementing wor in their areas.
140	h	Line management:
141	0.	
142		(1) Implements MOP according to OU requirements.
143		
144	c.	NIST employees and covered associates:
145		1 5
146		(1) Actively participate in MOPs conducted in their workspaces.
147		
148		
149	10	AUTHORITIES
150		None required
151		
152		
153	11	. DIRECTIVE OWNER
154		Chief Safety Officer
155		
156		
157	12	. APPENDICES
158	A.	Appendix A. Revision History
159	В.	Examples of Implementation
160		
161	NI	ST S 7101.05 (Uncontrolled Copy in Print) Page 4

## Appendix A. Revision History

Revision	Approval	Effective	Brief Description of Change; Rationale
No.	Date	Date	
0	08/08/19	04/01/20	Revision of NIST Administrative Manual Subchapter 12.07

164

## APPENDIX B. EXAMPLES OF IMPLEMENTATION OF MANAGEMENT OBSERVATION 165 PROCESSES. 166

167

There can be substantial variation in how each OU implements the MOP in its organization. 168

One model is that used by Oak Ridge National Laboratory. Four observations are conducted 169

quarterly by the organization directors, typically lasting about one hour (a half hour is more 170

typical of an office operation). The managers focus on the work and ongoing processes during 171

the conversation. No notes are taken during the conversation to emphasize the listening 172 process. Summaries of the MOP (typically 100 to 200 words) are written after the conversation 173

and entered into a database. The information gathered should reflect the quality and depth of 174

the conversation. 175

176

Examples of safety-related discussion points include the following: 177

178

179 Personal safety expectations and how they relate to the work being performed;

- How well workers understand hazards of their work and controls necessary to work safely; 180
- The most common injuries and what the workers can do to prevent them; 181
- 182 Suggestions that the workers have to improve safe operations in their work environment;
- The need for additional management support to resolve a safety concern; 183
- The comfort level of workers to report minor safety incidents and near-accidents; 184
- The process that workers can use to resolve safety issues. 185

186 A second model for opening lines of communication with the staff on issues like safe research 187 operations and safe behaviors in the workplace, is through a regular, unforced, on-going 188

dialogue. A popular and effective tool that line managers frequently use is management-by-189

walking-around (MBWA). The characteristics of MBWA are personal involvement and good 190

listening skills. This activity typically involves each line manager setting aside enough time each 191

day to engage staff and subordinate managers in a dialogue involving many different topics 192

including—but not limited to—questions about their particular work, their work environment, 193

security, safety, even updating them on current NIST news & events, and any other staff 194

- concerns. This is also an excellent opportunity for making positive comments and getting 195 feedback.
- 196

197

198 This model is easily adaptable to meet the NIST requirements. In this case, the MOP involves all line managers in the OU. Documentation of follow-on actions is required where specific 199

corrective actions are necessary and formal documentation is warranted to ensure that the 200 corrective measures are executed. Each line manager is accountable for active participation in the

- 201 MOP, and this accountability is reflected in the performance plan. OU Directors, OU 202
- Deputies, Division Chiefs, Group Leaders, and Team/Project Leaders cover the entire work 203
- environment, engaging the staff in each setting in active discussions regarding work-related 204
- topics including safe research operations and work behaviors. This does not mean that any one 205
- line manager is required to cover all of this space or engage all staff. As a rule of thumb, the 206
- collective line management team should cover all work areas at a frequency commensurate 207
- with the level of hazards and changing conditions, which could translate to a frequency as often 208
- 209 as weekly.