3		NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT
4		
5 6		NIST S 7301.14 Approval Date: 01/12/2021
7		Effective Date ¹ : 01/25/2018
8		
9		
10	1.	PURPOSE
11 12		This suborder delineates the requirements for assessing the environmental impact of NIST actions (see Section 7, DEFINITIONS) in accordance with the National Environmental
13		Policy Act (NEPA), 42 USC 4321 et seq.
14		
15		
16	2.	BACKGROUND
17		Signed into law in 1970, NEPA provides a framework for federal agencies to consider
18		environmental impacts in their decision-making. NEPA directs federal agencies to analyze
19		and assess the environmental effects of their proposed actions and viable alternatives,
20		consider mitigation measures for limiting the environmental effects of their actions, and as
21		appropriate, involve and inform the public in their decision-making process. The overall
22		intent of NEPA is to have federal agencies make better environmental decisions.
23		
24		In 1978, the White House Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) promulgated regulations
25		[40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Parts 1500-1508] implementing NEPA that are
26		binding on all federal agencies.
27		
28		In accordance with Department Administrative Order 216-6, dated January 18, 2017, the
29		Department of Commerce (DOC) Assistant General Counsel for Administration and
30		Transactions is the designated DOC NEPA Officer. The DOC NEPA Officer has delegated
31		interim authority for NIST's Associate Director of Management Resources to administer a
32		NEPA Compliance Program and serve as NIST's NEPA Coordinator.
33		
34	2	
35		APPLICABILITY The provisions of this suborder emply to any NIST decision on a proposal for action that
36	a.	The provisions of this suborder apply to any NIST decision on a proposal for action that
37		would result in a physical effect on the human environment. Actions may include new and

1 2

¹ For revision history, see Appendix A.

38 39		continuing activities, including projects and programs entirely or partly financed, assisted, conducted, regulated, or approved by NIST; new or revised agency rules, regulations, plans,
40 41		policies, or procedures; and legislative proposals.
42 43 44		If there is any uncertainty regarding the application of NEPA, a determination shall be made by the NIST NEPA Coordinator. As needed, the NIST NEPA coordinator shall consult with the NIST Office of Chief Counsel, the CEQ, or both.
45 46	b.	This suborder does not apply to actions that may have impacts on the environment
47 48		exclusively outside the geographic borders of the United States, its territories, and its possessions, which are subject to Executive Order 12114, Environmental Effects Abroad of
49 50 51		Major Federal Action, and Department Administrative Order 216-12, Environmental Effects Abroad of Major Federal Actions.
52		
53	4.	LEGAL AUTHORITIES AND REFERENCES
54	a.	<u>42 U.S.C. § 4321 et seq</u> ., NEPA
55		
56	b.	40 CFR Parts 1500 to 1508, Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) Regulations for
57		Implementing the Procedural Provisions of NEPA (CEQ Regulations)
58		
59 60	c.	<u>36 CFR 800</u> , Protection of Historic Properties
60 61 62	d.	Executive Order 12114, Environmental Effects Abroad of Major Federal Action
63	e.	Department Administrative Order 216-6, Implementing NEPA
64 65	f.	Department Administrative Order 216-12, Environmental Effects Abroad of Major Federal
66	1.	Actions
67		
68	g.	CEQ NEPA Guidance and Publications, 1976-2016
69	-	
70	h.	DOC Categorical Exclusions, 74 Fed. Reg. 33,204 (July 10, 2009)
71		
72	_	
73		APPLICABLE NIST DIRECTIVES
74 75	a.	NIST O 7301.00: <i>Environmental Management</i>
75 76	h	NIST S 7301.01: Environmental Management System
76 77	υ.	11151 5 7501.01. <u>Environmental Management System</u>

78 79 80	6. a.	REQUIREMENTS General Requirements. NIST shall:
81 82 83 84		 Comply with CEQ Regulations (<u>40 CFR Parts 1500 to 1508</u>) and regulations for the Protection of Historic Properties (<u>36 CFR 800</u>);
85 86 87		(2) When an action is proposed, determine whether NEPA review requirements apply, and what level of review is likely required;
88 89 90		(3) Consider the environmental impacts of proposed actions early in planning processes and in conjunction with mission requirements and objectives;
91 92 93		(4) Prepare appropriate environmental documentation [see Section 6b(3)], as required in the CEQ Regulations;
94 95 96		(5) For actions with interest external to NIST, engage the public in the planning process and evaluation of environmental impacts (e.g. public meetings, document review);
97 98 99		(6) Identify potential federal, state, local and tribal agencies with jurisdiction/interest in NIST actions, and consult and coordinate with these agencies in the NEPA process;
100 101		(7) Incorporate NEPA compliance into NIST's Environmental Management System;
102 103 104		(8) Review and provide comments on draft NEPA documents submitted by other federal agencies where the action relates to NIST's mission or operations;
105 106 107 108		(9) Identify cooperating agencies with jurisdiction by law or special expertise over NIST actions; request their participation; and involve them appropriately in development of NEPA documentation.
109 110 111 112		(10) Participate as a cooperating agency when requested by another federal agency when NIST has jurisdiction or special expertise over an environmental issue related to the agency's action.
112 113 114 115	b.	Incorporating NEPA into NIST Planning Processes. Early in the NIST planning process for potential major actions (see Section 7, DEFINITIONS.):

116 117	(1) The action proponent (e.g., project manager) (see Section 7, DEFINITIONS) shall define the action thoroughly, including the purpose and need for the action, location(s),
118	scope of activities, resources required, schedule, and participants.
119	
120	(2) The action proponent shall initiate a review of the action by the NIST NEPA
121	Coordinator. The NIST NEPA Coordinator shall determine if the action:
122	
123	(a) Is exempt from NEPA;
124	
125	(b) Meets the criteria of an approved CATEX, and, if so, whether the action involves
126	extraordinary circumstances;
127	
128	(c) Requires the preparation of an environmental assessment (EA) (see Section 7,
129	DEFINITIONS); or
130	
131	(d) Requires the preparation of an environmental impact statement (EIS) (see Section
132	7, DEFINITIONS).
133	
134	
135	(3) Detailed procedures for each of the options listed in Section 6b(2) are discussed below.
136	Further detailed procedures are provided in the CEQ Regulations, <u>40 CFR Parts 1500 to</u>
137	1508, and CEQ NEPA Guidance and Publications, 1976-2016.
138	
139	(a) CATEX
140	
141	i. A Categorical Exclusion or CATEX is a category of actions which do not
142	have a significant environmental impact and which have been identified as
143	such by a federal agency in their NEPA implementing procedures. Actions
144	that meet the criteria of a CATEX do not require an EA or EIS. The
145	Department of Commerce (DOC) has established standard CATEXs as
146	published in the Federal Register, 74 Fed. Reg. 33,204 (July 10, 2009).
147	
148	ii. If there is any uncertainty regarding the application of a CATEX to a NIST
149	action, or qualifications to be met, the NIST NEPA Coordinator will prepare a
150	memorandum justifying the application of the CATEX and evaluating
151	whether extraordinary circumstances exist. The CATEX Memo shall be
152	approved and signed by the NIST NEPA Coordinator; the NIST Senior
153	Manager (OU Director or higher) responsible for the project; and the NIST
154	Chief Facilities Management Officer (CFMO). If the approved memo

155 156		determines that a CATEX applies and no extraordinary circumstances exist, the project is approved to proceed.
157		
158	(b) EA	
159	(0) LM	
160	i.	An Environmental Assessment (EA) shall be prepared for each major action
161	1.	that does not meet the criteria for a CATEX, but does not immediately rise to
162		the level of an EIS. If it is determined that an EA shall be prepared, the action
162		proponent shall make arrangement for the completion of this document. The
164		EA shall be prepared in accordance with the CEQ Regulations, <u>40 CFR Parts</u>
165		<u>1500 to 1508, and CEQ NEPA Guidance and Publications, 1976-2016</u> .
166		
167	ii.	An EA includes a brief discussion of:
168		
169		(i) The need for the proposed action;
170		
171		(ii) Reasonable alternatives to the proposed action;
172		
173		(iii) The direct, indirect, and cumulative environmental impacts of the
174		proposed action and alternatives; and
175		
176		(iv) A listing of agencies and persons consulted.
177		
178	iii.	Based on the scope and level of controversy associated with the project, an
179		EA may include review by applicable government agencies, and public
180		outreach including public meetings and document review. The level of review
181		and public outreach needed shall be determined by the NIST NEPA
182		Coordinator in consultation with the Office of the Chief Counsel for NIST and
183		the NIST Public Affairs Office, as appropriate.
184		
185	iv.	At a minimum, the draft EA shall be reviewed by the NIST NEPA
186		Coordinator; the NIST Senior Manager responsible for the project; and the
187		CFMO. All comments shall be considered in the final EA.
188		
189	v.	Based on the findings of the EA, the following actions can occur:
190		
191		(i) If the EA determines that the action will not have significant
192		environmental impacts, a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI)
193		(see Section 7, DEFINITIONS) shall be issued. A FONSI summarizes
194		the findings of the EA and presents the reasons why the EA has

195			concluded that no significant environmental impacts are expected from
196			the proposed action.
197			
198		(ii)	The FONSI shall be approved and signed, or disapproved, by the NIST
199			Senior Manager responsible for the project; the NIST NEPA
200			Coordinator; and the CFMO. Based on the complexity of the project,
201			the Final EA and FONSI may be submitted for review by applicable
202			government agencies and the public. Once review has been completed
203			and concurrence received, the project can proceed.
204			
205		(iii)	If the EA determines that the environmental impacts of the proposed
206			action will be significant, an EIS shall be prepared.
207			
208	(c) E	IS	
209			
210	i.	The d	ecision to prepare an EIS can be made at the conclusion of an EA, or it
211			e made in the initial planning of a project. It may be apparent in the
212			I planning that the expected impacts of a proposed action are significant,
213			hat an EIS shall be required without preparing an EA.
213		und ti	at an Ello shan de required writtent proparing an Err.
215	ii.	Δn Fl	IS generally:
215	11.	7 m L	is generally.
210		(i)	Is a more detailed, higher level of environmental analysis than an EA,
217		(1)	often involving direct measurements and data collection;
218			often involving direct measurements and data concerton,
		(;;)	Requires more procedural reviews, public meetings, and outreach;
220		(ii)	Requires more procedural reviews, public meetings, and outreach,
221			Is intended for large prejects that are expected to have significant
222		(iii)	Is intended for large projects that are expected to have significant
223			environmental impacts and for projects that have caused significant
224			public controversy.
225		T 21	
226	iii.		ction proponent shall make arrangements for the completion of an EIS.
227			ft EIS shall be reviewed by the NIST NEPA Coordinator, the NIST
228			r Manager responsible for the project, the CFMO, U.S. Environmental
229			ction Agency, other applicable government agencies, and the public. All
230		comn	nents are responded to and, as appropriate incorporated into a final EIS.
231			
232	iv.		d on the findings of the EIS, a Record of Decision (ROD) (see Section 7,
233		DEFI	NITIONS) is prepared that summarizes the findings and the decision(s)
234		reach	ed on the project. The NIST Senior Manager responsible for the project

235 236 237 238 239	shall approve and sign the ROD along with the NIST NEPA Coordinator and the CFMO. The ROD is published with the Final EIS for review by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, applicable government agencies and the public. Once review has been completed and concurrence is received, the decision of the ROD may be implemented.
240 241 242	c. NIST EA/EIS Requirements. In conducting an EA/EIS, NIST shall:
242 243 244 245	 Implement a systematic, interdisciplinary approach to proactively consider environmental impacts;
246 247	(2) Identify and consider the full range of reasonable alternatives and mitigation measures;
248 249 250	(3) Conduct an open process of determining the scope of issues that the project will impact internal and external to NIST;
250 251 252 253	(4) Consider the context and intensity of the potential direct, indirect, and cumulative environmental effects of the proposed action and the alternatives;
254 255 256 257 258	(5) Include a review of the projected impacts to historic properties in accordance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act. The grounds and landscape of the NIST-Gaithersburg campus have been determined to be National Register eligible historic resources. Each historic review shall be coordinated by and with the NIST Federal Preservation Officer.
259 260 261 262	(6) Consider mitigation measures, and the monitoring required to implement mitigation measures;
262 263 264 265	(7) As appropriate, and in compliance with NEPA and the CEQ Regulations, involve the public in the decision-making process;
266 267 268	 (8) Conduct NEPA document review and concurrence in accordance with this suborder and NEPA regulations;
269 270 271	 (9) Include federal, state, local and tribal agencies with jurisdiction by law or expertise as cooperating agencies;
272 273 274	(10) Include other federal, state, local and tribal agencies with interest in the action in all outreach and document review;

275		(11) Include the NIST NEPA Coordinator, CFMO, and the Office of the Chief Counsel for
276		NIST in each document review;
277		
278		(12) Inform NIST decision makers of environmental issues, concerns, and consequences at
279		critical decision points in the planning process; and
280		
281		(13) Document a decision regarding the proposed project in one of two ways:
282		
283		(a) A FONSI referencing an EA; or
284		
285		(b) A ROD referencing an EIS.
286		
287		
288	7.	DEFINITIONS
289	Te	rms quoted directly from NEPA Regulations are noted.
290		
291	a.	<u>Actions</u> – New and continuing activities, including programs and projects entirely or partly
292		financed, assisted, conducted, or approved by NIST.
293		
294	b.	Action Proponent – The NIST individual assigned the responsibility for initiating and
295		managing an action.
296		
297	c.	
298		cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment and which have been found
299		to have no such effect on procedures adopted by a federal agency in implementing the CEQ
300		Regulations (<u>40 CFR 1508.4</u>). An EA or EIS is not required for an action covered by a
301		CATEX.
302		
303	d.	Environmental Assessment – As described in <u>40 CFR 1508.9</u> , a concise public document, for
304		which a federal agency is responsible, that serves to:
305		
306		(1) Briefly provide sufficient evidence and analysis for determining whether to prepare an
307		environmental impact statement or a finding of no significant impact.
308		
309		(2) Aid an agency's compliance with the Act (NEPA) when no environmental impact
310		statement is necessary.
311		
312		(3) Facilitate preparation of a statement when one is necessary.
313		

314 315	e.	Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) – A detailed written statement as required by Section 102(2)(C) of the NEPA (40 CFR 1502). An EIS will include:
316 317 318		(1) The environmental impact of the proposed action;
319 320		(2) Any adverse environmental effects which cannot be avoided should the proposal be implemented;
321 322 323		(3) Alternatives to the proposed action;
324 325 326		(4) The relationship between local short-term uses of man's environment and the maintenance and enhancement of long-term productivity; and
327 328		(5) Any irreversible and irretrievable commitments of resources which would be involved in the proposed action should it be implemented.
329 330	f.	Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) – A document prepared by a federal agency
331 332 333 334 335 336	1.	briefly presenting the reasons why an action, not otherwise excluded, will not have a significant effect on the human environment and for which an environmental impact statement therefore will not be prepared. It shall include the environmental assessment or a summary of it and shall note any other environmental documents related to it. If the assessment is included, the finding need not repeat any of the discussion in the assessment but may incorporate it by reference (40 CFR 1508.13).
 337 338 339 340 341 342 242 	g.	<u>Major Federal Action</u> – Actions with effects that may be major and which are potentially subject to federal control and responsibility. "Major" reinforces but does not have a meaning independent of "significant" (<u>40 CFR 1508.27</u>). Actions include the circumstance where the responsible officials fail to act and that failure to act is reviewable by courts or administrative tribunals under the Administrative Procedure Act or other applicable law as agency action.
 343 344 345 346 347 348 349 350 351 352 		(1) Actions include new and continuing activities, including projects and programs entirely or partly financed, assisted, conducted, regulated, or approved by federal agencies; new or revised agency rules, regulations, plans, policies, or procedures; and legislative proposals (40 CFR. 1506.8). Actions do not include funding assistance solely in the form of general revenue sharing funds, distributed under the State and Local Fiscal Assistance Act of 1972, 31 U.S.C. 1221 et seq., with no federal agency control over the subsequent use of such funds. Actions do not include bringing judicial or administrative civil or criminal enforcement actions.
352 353		(2) Federal actions tend to fall within one of the following categories:

354		
355		(a) Adoption of official policy, such as rules, regulations, and interpretations adopted
356		pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 551 et seq.; treaties and
357		international conventions or agreements; formal documents establishing an agency's
358		policies which will result in or substantially alter agency programs;
359		
360		(b) Adoption of formal plans, such as official documents prepared or approved by federal
361		agencies which guide or prescribe alternative uses of federal resources, upon which
362		future agency actions will be based;
363		
364		(c) Adoption of programs, such as a group of concerted actions to implement a specific
365		policy or plan;
366		
367		(d) Systematic and connected agency decisions allocating agency resources to implement
368		a specific statutory program or executive order; and
369		
370		(e) Approval of specific projects, such as construction or management activities located
371		in a defined geographic area. Projects include actions approved by permit or other
372		regulatory decision as well as federal and federally assisted activities (40 CFR
373		<u>1508.18)</u> .
374		
375	h.	Record of Decision (ROD) – A public document that records a federal agency's decision(s)
376		regarding a proposed action that are based on the findings of an EIS.
377		
378	i.	Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act – Federal law that requires federal
379		agencies to take into account the effects of their undertakings on historic properties. The
380		historic preservation review process mandated by Section 106 is outlined in <u>36 CFR 800</u> ,
381		"Protection of Historic Properties."
382		
383	j.	Significant/Significantly – From 40 CFR 1508.27: Significant/Significantly requires
384		considerations of both context and intensity:
385		
386		(1) Context. This means that the significance of an action must be analyzed in several
387		contexts such as society as a whole (human, national), the affected region, the affected
388		interests, and the locality. Significance varies with the setting of the proposed action. For
389		instance, in the case of a site-specific action, significance would usually depend upon the
390		effects in the locale rather than in the world as a whole. Both short- and long-term effects
391		are relevant.
392		

393 394 395 396	(2) Intensity. This refers to the severity of impact. Responsible officials must bear in mind that more than one agency may make decisions about partial aspects of a major action. The following should be considered in evaluating intensity:
397 398 399	(a) Impacts that may be both beneficial and adverse. A significant effect may exist even if the federal agency believes that on balance the effect will be beneficial.
400 401	(b) The degree to which the proposed action affects public health or safety.
402 403 404 405	(c) Unique characteristics of the geographic area such as proximity to historic or cultural resources, park lands, prime farmlands, wetlands, wild and scenic rivers, or ecologically critical areas.
406 407 408	(d) The degree to which the effects on the quality of the human environment are likely to be highly controversial.
409 410 411	(e) The degree to which the possible effects on the human environment are highly uncertain or involve unique or unknown risks.
412 413 414	(f) The degree to which the action may establish a precedent for future actions with significant effects or represents a decision in principle about a future consideration.
415 416 417 418 419	(g) Whether the action is related to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant impacts. Significance exists if it is reasonable to anticipate a cumulatively significant impact on the environment. Significance cannot be avoided by terming an action temporary or by breaking it down into small component parts.
420 421 422 423 424	(h) The degree to which the action may adversely affect districts, sites, highways, structures, or objects listed in or eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places or may cause loss or destruction of significant scientific, cultural, or historical resources.
425 426 427 428	 (i) The degree to which the action may adversely affect an endangered or threatened species or its habitat that has been determined to be critical under the Endangered Species Act of 1973.
429 430 431	(j) Whether the action threatens a violation of federal, state, or local law or requirements imposed for the protection of the environment.

432	8.	ACRONYMS
433	a.	CATEX – Categorical Exclusion
434	1.	CEO White House Council on Environmental Quality
435 436	b.	CEQ – White House Council on Environmental Quality
437	c.	CFMO – NIST Chief Facilities Management Officer
438		
439	d.	CFR – Code of Federal Regulations
440		
441	e.	DOC – Department of Commerce
442		
443	f.	EA – Environmental Assessment
444		
445	g.	EIS – Environmental Impact Statement
446 447	h	FONSI – Finding of No Significant Impact
447 448	11.	ronsi – rinding of No Significant impact
448	i.	NEPA – National Environmental Policy Act
450	1.	
451	j.	Organizational Unit – OU
452	5	
453	k.	ROD – Record of Decision
454		
455		
456	9.	RESPONSIBILITIES
457	a.	NIST Director (Under Secretary of Commerce for Standards and Technology):
458		
459		(1) Directing NIST Associate Directors and OU Directors to ensure that environmental
460		reviews (as described in this suborder) are incorporated into NIST planning and decision-
461 462		making processes.
463	b.	Chief Safety Officer:
464	0.	
465		(1) Serving as the NIST NEPA Coordinator or delegating the authority to carry out the
466		responsibilities of the NIST NEPA Coordinator to another NIST employee;
467		
468		(2) Overseeing the implementation of the requirements of this suborder;
469		
470		(3) Advising the NIST Director on any environmental reviews that are highly controversial
471		or significant; and

472 473 474		 (4) Supporting early, proactive incorporation of environmental reviews and NEPA proces into NIST planning and decision making; 			
474 475	c.	Chief Facilities Management Officer			
476 477 478		(1) Appointing the NIST Federal Preservation Officer;			
478 479 480		(2) Meeting the OU Director responsibilities discussed in Section 9d below;			
480 481 482		(3) Reviewing EAs and EISs; and			
482 483 484		(4) Reviewing and approving or disapproving CATEX Memos, FONSIs, and RODs.			
484 485 486	d.	Senior Managers Responsible for Projects (OU Director or higher):			
480 487 488 489		 Designating Action Proponents (e.g., project managers, principal investigators) for projects, programs, policies or other actions in planning; 			
490 491 492		(2) Ensuring that potential major federal actions to be undertaken by their OU are brought to the attention of the NIST NEPA Coordinator early in the planning process to assess the applicability of NEPA;			
493 494 495 496		(3) Ensuring that Action Proponents are aware of the NEPA process, and that the environmental impacts of their actions will be considered; and			
497 498		(4) Planning and budgeting to fulfill NEPA requirements associated with proposed actions (e.g., the costs of an EA may need to be incorporated into a project budget);			
499 500 501		(5) Reviewing EAs and EISs; and			
501 502 503		(6) Reviewing and approving or disapproving CATEX Memos, FONSIs, and RODs.			
504 505	e.	NIST NEPA Coordinator:			
506 507 508		 Providing technical advice and training to action proponents, OU Directors, facilities managers, and contracts and grants managers, so that they are aware of, and comply with, the NEPA process; 			
509 510 511		(2) Consulting with Action Proponents to identify how the requirements of this suborder will be met;			

512 513 514		 (3) Identifying the level of environmental analysis, level of public involvement, and documentation required for NIST major actions; 				
515 516 517		(4) As needed, consulting with Office of the Chief Counsel for NIST or the CEQ on NEPA related issues;				
518 519 520		(5) Conducting technical sufficiency reviews of all NEPA documentation to ensure a high- quality analysis is completed, and provide recommendations of sufficiency/adequacy to the signing official;				
521 522 523 524		 (6) Coordinating with other agencies cooperatively and as applicable on NEPA related actions; 				
525 526 527		(7) Preparing NEPA compliance reports as required, including, but not limited to, program evaluations, NEPA summary reports, and instances where NIST is a cooperating agency on another agency's NEPA action;				
528 529 530 531		(8) Providing and coordinating comments on other agencies' EAs and EISs if they apply to NIST or NIST's areas of responsibility;				
532 533 534		(9) Serving as the principal point of contact for NIST on issues related to this suborder and any issues brought before the Council on Environmental Quality;				
535 536		(10) Reviewing EAs and EISs;				
537 538		(11) Reviewing and approving or disapproving CATEX Memos, FONSIs, and RODs; and				
539 540 541		(12) In consultation with Office of the Chief Counsel for NIST, serving as the final decision maker on the approval or disapproval of CATEX Memos, FONSIs, and RODs.				
542 543 544	f.	Leader, Environmental Management Group, Gaithersburg Safety, Health, and Environment Division, Office of Safety, Health, and Environment:				
545 546 547		(1) Carrying out the responsibilities of the NIST NEPA Coordinator on behalf of the Chief Safety Officer.				
548 549	g.	NIST Federal Preservation Officer:				
550 551		(1) Coordinating with state historic preservation agencies to review projected impacts to historic properties as part of an EA or EIS; and				

	(2) Reviewing and commenting on NIST NEPA documents.		
h.	Action Proponent:		
	(1) Defining the action thoroughly, including the purpose and need for the action, location(s),		
	scope of activities, resources required, schedule, and participants;		
	(2) Being aware of the NEPA process and ensuring that their action is reviewed by the		
	NEPA Coordinator;		
	(3) Working with the NEPA Coordinator early in the planning process to determine if NEPA		
	is applicable, and if so, the level of analysis and documentation required;		
	(4) Securing funding for NEPA analysis and documentation, as necessary;		
	(5) Ensuring that project budgets support the level of review required by NEPA; and		
	(6) Ensuring the NEPA process is carried out for their action.		
	DIRECTIVE OWNER		
Ch	ief Safety Officer		
11.	APPENDICES		
	10 . Ch		

577 A. Revision History

Appendix A. Revision History

578 579

Revision No.	Approval Date	Responsible Person	Brief Description of Change; Rationale
0	01/25/18		• None – Initial document
1	1/12/21	April Camenisch	• Updated NIST suborder links.

580