

OSAC 2021-S-0003
Standards for Determining
Analytical and Stochastic
Thresholds for Application to
Forensic DNA Casework Using
Electrophoresis Platforms

Human Forensic Biology Subcommittee
Biology Scientific Area Committee (SAC)
Organization of Scientific Area Committees (OSAC) for Forensic Science



OSAC Proposed Standard

OSAC 2021-S-0003

Standard for Determining Analytical and Stochastic Thresholds for Application to Forensic DNA Casework Using Electrophoresis Platforms

Prepared by
Human Forensic Biology Subcommittee

Version: 2.1
December 2023

Disclaimer:

This OSAC Proposed Standard was written by the Human Forensic Biology Subcommittee/Biology Scientific Area Committee of the Organization of Scientific Area Committees (OSAC) for Forensic Science following a process that includes an [open comment period](#). This Proposed Standard will be submitted to a standards developing organization and is subject to change.

There may be references in an OSAC Proposed Standard to other publications under development by OSAC. The information in the Proposed Standard, and underlying concepts and methodologies, may be used by the forensic-science community before the completion of such companion publications.

Any identification of commercial equipment, instruments, or materials in the Proposed Standard is not a recommendation or endorsement by the U.S. Government and does not imply that the equipment, instruments, or materials are necessarily the best available for the purpose.

To be placed on the OSAC Registry, certain types of standards first must be reviewed by a Scientific and Technical Review (STR). The STR process is vital to OSAC's mission of generating and recognizing scientifically sound standards for producing and interpreting forensic science results. The STR shall provide critical and knowledgeable reviews of draft standards or of proposed revisions of standards previously published by standards developing organizations

(SDOs) to ensure that the published methods that practitioners employ are scientifically valid, and the resulting claims are trustworthy.

The STR will consist of an independent and diverse panel, including subject matter experts, human factors scientists, quality assurance personnel, and legal experts, which will be tasked with evaluating the proposed standard based on a comprehensive list of science-based criteria.

For more information about this important process, please visit our website at: <https://www.nist.gov/topics/organization-scientific-area-committees-forensic-science/scientific-technical-review-panels>.

Version No.	Issue Date	Section	Reason
2.0	November 7, 2023	--	Added to the OSAC Registry and publicly announced.
2.1	December 27, 2023	All	Format and font updates only. No content changes made.

Foreword:

The individual minimum requirements for the determination and validation of analytical and stochastic thresholds are defined in this document. Such thresholds help to ensure credence in the reliability of the data obtained, while clearly conveying assumptions under which data will be evaluated during downstream interpretation. The goal is for the laboratory to consistently produce reliable and reproducible designations of allelic data and identify when allelic drop-out may have occurred supported by internal validation data and laboratory protocols.

If a laboratory, as part of its data analysis methods, makes binary determinations regarding the detection or non-detection of peaks for casework, analytical thresholds are necessary. Similarly, if a laboratory, as part of its data analysis methods, makes binary determinations regarding the potential for allele drop-out in casework, stochastic thresholds are also necessary.

Whenever a threshold is applied, it is possible that a classification error may occur. Intrinsic to any analytical threshold is the expectation that non-reproducible noise will produce some peaks that are incorrectly classified as alleles because they exceed the threshold, and that some true alleles will be undetected because they produce peaks below the threshold. Intrinsic to any stochastic threshold is the expectation that some errors will occur in determining whether allelic drop-out may have occurred. Some heterozygous genotypes will be erroneously classified as homozygous when the sister allelic peaks drops out and the second peak is above the stochastic threshold. Conversely, some homozygous genotypes will be erroneously classified as potentially heterozygous because the single peak is below the stochastic threshold. The advantage of determining thresholds based on statistical analysis of relevant empirical data, is that estimates can be made of the relative risk of these possible errors for a given threshold level. In setting thresholds, a statistically based approach must be employed by the laboratory to determine what proportion of these events are acceptable for the analysis of forensic casework.

The draft of this standard was developed by the Human Forensic Biology Subcommittee of the Organization of Scientific Area Committees for Forensic Science.

Keywords: *analytical threshold, stochastic threshold, DNA, validation, signal, artifact, noise*

Table of Contents

1	Scope	6
2	Normative References	6
3	Terms and Definitions.....	6
4	Requirements	9
	Annex A.....	13

Standard for Determining Analytical and Stochastic Thresholds for Application to Forensic DNA Casework Using Electrophoresis Platforms

1 Scope

These standards set the requirements for forensic laboratories, which as part of their casework data analysis processes, are making determinations of: a) whether a peak in an electropherogram represents true signal or might be noise; and b) whether drop-out of a heterozygous sister allele to an observed peak either did not occur or might have occurred.

This does not apply to probabilistic genotyping systems that calculate a probability-based analytical threshold as a part of its analysis process.

This standard is applicable to forensic short tandem repeat (STR) DNA typing performed on electrophoresis platforms.

2 Normative References

The following reference is indispensable for the application of the standard. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ANSI/ASB Standard 038, *Standard for Internal Validation of Forensic DNA Analysis Methods*. 2020. 1st. Ed.

3 Terms and Definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following definitions apply.

3.1

allele

Any of the forms of the same gene that occur at the same locus on a homologous chromosome but differ in base sequence. - Oorschot, R.A.H. and Ballantyne, K.N. "Capillary Electrophoresis in Forensic Biology." *Forensic Biology*, 49-57. Elsevier Ltd., Macleod, VIC, Australia, 2013.

3.2

analytical threshold

The minimum height requirement at and above which detected peaks on a STR DNA profile electropherogram can be reliably distinguished from instrument background noise; peaks above this threshold are generally not considered noise and are either artifacts or true alleles. - J.M. Butler. *Fundamentals of Forensic DNA Typing*. Elsevier Academic Press, San Diego, CA, 2010.

3.3

artifact

A non-allelic product of the amplification process (e.g., stutter, non-templated nucleotide addition, or other non-specific product), an anomaly of the detection process (e.g., single or multichannel voltage spikes or “pull-up”), or a by-product of primer synthesis (e.g., “dye blob”) that may be observed on an electropherogram. Some artifacts may complicate the interpretation of DNA profiles when they cannot be distinguished from the actual allele(s) from a particular sample. -Scientific Working Group on DNA Analysis Methods, SWGDAM Interpretation Guidelines for Autosomal STR Typing. 2010.

3.4

control

Material of established origin that is used to evaluate the performance of a test or comparison. – ASTM E1732-12 Standard Terminology Relating to Forensic Science. ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, 2012.

3.5

coverage factor (k)

Coverage factor (k factor) (Guide to Uncertainty of Measurement, GUM): numerical factor used as a multiplier of the combined standard uncertainty in order to obtain an expanded uncertainty. - NISTIR 6919, Recommended Guide for Determining and Reporting Uncertainties for Balances and Scales, Val Miller, State Laboratory Program, Weights and Measures Division National Institute of Standards and Technology, Technology Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

3.6

drop-out

(1) Failure of an otherwise amplifiable allele to produce a signal above analytical threshold because the allele was not present or was not present in sufficient quantity in the aliquot that underwent polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification. (2) A hypothesis/postulate for the failure to observe one or more allelic peaks in an electropherogram that are expected for the assumed contributor(s) to a sample. – Scientific Working Group on DNA Analysis Methods, SWGDAM Guidelines for STR Enhanced Detection Methods. Scientific Working Group on DNA Analysis Methods, 2014.

3.7

empirical data

Factual data that are based on actual measurement, observation, or direct sensory experience rather than on theory. – NFPA 921 Guide for Fire and Explosion Investigations. NFPA, 1 Batterymarch Park, Quincy, MA 02169-7471, 2014.

3.8

internal validation

The accumulation of test data within the laboratory for developing the laboratory standard operating procedures and determining the limits of the method(s). Internal validation demonstrates that the established protocols for the technical steps of the test and for data interpretation perform as expected in the laboratory. - ANSI/ASB Standard 038, 1st. Ed. 2020.

3.9

locus (loci)

A unique physical location of a gene (or specific sequence of DNA) on a chromosome; the plural of locus is loci (which is pronounced low-sigh). – J.M. Butler. Fundamentals of Forensic DNA Typing. Elsevier Academic Press, San Diego, CA, 2010.

3.10

noise

Meaningless output occurring in electronic equipment; it is random electronic variation that is generated by and intrinsic to the electronic circuitry. It ultimately establishes the smallest analytical signal that can be quantitatively measured with confidence. A part of a signal that is not the target signal. - ASB Technical Report 025 Crime Scene/Death Investigation – Dogs and Sensors Terms and Definitions. AAFS Academy Standards Board (ASB), 2017.

3.11

peak

The visual image of an allele or other fluorescent signal on an electropherogram; for an allele, the peak height (Y axis) represents the amount of amplified DNA in the PCR and the peak position (X axis) represents the fragment length. - J.M. Butler. Fundamentals of Forensic DNA Typing. Elsevier Academic Press, San Diego, CA, 2010.

3.12

stochastic threshold

The peak height value in a DNA electrophoretic profile above which it is reasonable to assume that, at a given locus, allelic dropout of a sister allele in a heterozygous pair has not occurred in a single source DNA sample; due to the possibility of shared alleles in mixed samples, the presence of allele peaks above the stochastic threshold is no guarantee that allele dropout did not occur in mixed DNA sample profiles. - Scientific Working Group on DNA Analysis Methods, SWGDAM Interpretation Guidelines for Autosomal STR Typing. 2010.

3.13

stutter

An artifact of PCR amplification typically observed one or more repeat units smaller or larger than an STR allele in a DNA electrophoretic profile, may result from strand slippage during PCR amplification. A stutter peak is generally of lower relative fluorescent units (RFU) than the allele peak. - Scientific Working Group on DNA Analysis Methods, SWGDAM Interpretation Guidelines for Autosomal STR Typing. 2010.

4 Requirements

4.1 The laboratory shall have an analytical threshold¹ for each electrophoresis platform (*e.g.*, distinct capillary electrophoresis (CE) models) used in casework that is established and tested using data generated during internal validation.

NOTE: When multiple instruments of the same kind/model/platform are used for casework, data generated from each instrument should be considered due to potential variations in noise inherent to each instrument.

4.1.1 The laboratory shall determine and document the acceptable proportion of noise peaks that will exceed the analytical threshold (*e.g.*, as reflected by the number of standard deviations above the noise mean [RFU]). This establishes the laboratory's predetermined expectation for acceptable performance of the analytical threshold.

NOTE: As the number of standard deviations increases, the potential for allele non-detection also increases. Recognizing there is a tradeoff between the risk of allele non-detection and the risk of mistakenly labeling noise peaks as alleles, the analytical threshold should be set such that the probability that noise exceeds the analytical threshold is between 10^{-2} and 10^{-6} (*e.g.*, $k=2$ to $k=5$). See Table 9 (Mönich et al., page 115) relating k value to probability that a randomly generated noise peak exceeds the analytical threshold. ²

4.1.2 The laboratory shall establish and verify an analytical threshold based on internally generated empirical data acquired from the same electrophoresis platform, analysis software and DNA profiling chemistry utilized in casework.

4.1.2.1 Validation studies used to establish an analytical threshold shall include samples of known composition (*e.g.*, known genotype and negative controls³). Casework samples shall not be used to determine an analytical threshold.

¹ This does not apply to the dye channel used for the internal size standard.

² Mönich, U.J., Duffy, K., Medard, M., Cadambe, V., Alfonse, L.E. and Grgicak C. "Probabilistic characterisation of baseline noise in STR profiles." *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 19 (2015): 107-122.

³ Negative amplification and Reagent blank controls are acceptable sample types providing that they contain no indication of amplified product.

4.1.2.2 Acceptable positions on an electropherogram to interrogate when establishing analytical thresholds shall be those that exclude possible allele or artifact peaks of known origin (*e.g.*, alleles and associated stutter products such as n-1, n-2, and n+1 positions, spectral pull-up peaks including those due to internal size standard, voltage spikes, unincorporated dye peaks).

4.1.2.3 When modifications to the instrument are made that have the potential to impact the noise output of the instrumentation, the performance of the analytical threshold shall be verified and documented (*e.g.*, performance check following change in laser and/or recalibration of the instrument).

4.1.3 The laboratory shall assess statistically-based analytical thresholds for each dye channel. A number of statistical methods to establish analytical threshold(s) have been described in the scientific literature.⁴ Relevant references are provided in Annex A Bibliography. Laboratories employing a single global analytical threshold for all dye channels shall provide statistical support (*e.g.*, based on 1-way analysis of variance [ANOVA] showing no statistically significant differences [$p < 0.05$] in noise across dye channels).

4.1.4 If the laboratory employs rounding (*e.g.*, to the nearest unit of 5 or 10 RFU), the implications of this rounding regarding the chance of mistaking noise for signal and the chance of not labeling a true allele in low template samples shall be documented.

4.2 The laboratory shall have a stochastic threshold⁵ for each electrophoresis platform (*e.g.*, distinct CE models and DNA profiling chemistry) used in casework that is established and verified using data generated during internal validation.

NOTE: When multiple instruments of the same kind/model/platform are used for casework, data generated from each instrument shall be evaluated to identify potential variations inherent to each instrument.

4.2.1 The laboratory shall determine and document the acceptable proportion of false homozygotes (drop-out) that will appear above the stochastic threshold (*e.g.*, as reflected by the number of standard deviations above the mean). This establishes the laboratory's predetermined expectation for acceptable performance of the stochastic threshold.

NOTE: As the number of standard deviations increases, the potential for true homozygote detection decreases. Recognizing there is a tradeoff between detecting true homozygotes and the risk of mistakenly labeling a heterozygote with drop-out as a homozygote, the stochastic threshold should be set such that the probability that drop-out exceeds the stochastic threshold is between 10^{-2} and 10^{-6} (*e.g.*, $k=2$ to $k=5$).

⁴ Methods based on an extreme value calculation (*e.g.*, 2X peak to trough difference) do not address the statistical confidence of a given analytical threshold. In addition, such methods can be easily skewed by outlier data and thus do not meet the requirements of this standard.

⁵ Determination of a stochastic threshold does not apply to the dye channel used for the internal size standard.

4.2.2 The laboratory shall establish a stochastic threshold based on internally generated empirical data acquired from the same electrophoresis platform, analysis software, and DNA profiling chemistry utilized in casework.

NOTE: Though laboratories using probabilistic genotyping systems are not required to establish or apply stochastic thresholds, they are still required to conduct validation studies that inform the laboratory of stochastic issues (*e.g.*, allele drop-out).

4.2.3 Validation studies of allelic drop-out used to establish a stochastic threshold shall include dilution series of single source samples of known genotype with a high level of heterozygosity and a range of differences in sister allele separation within each locus. The dilution series shall include DNA quantities around which allelic drop-out is likely to occur.

NOTE: The use of larger data sets improves the accuracy of the stochastic threshold. Stochastic events are, by definition, random.

4.2.4 If processes are utilized to increase or decrease sensitivity (*e.g.*, changes to amplification cycle number, injection time, and post-amplification purification or concentration of amplified products), the laboratory shall perform additional studies to determine the appropriate stochastic threshold(s) for the method(s) employed.

NOTE: For any profile generated using a reduced sensitivity method, where all interpreted peaks remain above the default stochastic threshold, the laboratory may evaluate whether or not the stochastic threshold implemented for routine data analysis is applicable to the decreased sensitivity method.

4.2.5 A number of methods to calculate a stochastic threshold have been described in the scientific literature. Relevant references are provided in Annex A Bibliography. The method selected must be supported by both the scientific literature and empirical data generated during internal validation by the laboratory.⁶ The laboratory shall document the desired level of statistical confidence (*e.g.*, as reflected by the number of standard deviations above the mean) for establishing a stochastic threshold.

4.3 Following the completion of the laboratory's internal validation study, all data, data analyses, and calculations used to determine the analytical and stochastic thresholds shall be documented in the final validation report.

⁶Methods based on the largest surviving allele do not directly address the probability of allele drop-out at the stochastic threshold. Therefore, these methods are not recommended for determining a stochastic threshold. Thresholds shall be established based on statistical analysis, and skewed (*i.e.*, non-symmetrical) data must be appropriately transformed prior to further analysis.

4.3.1 The validation report shall include the following information:

- a) a record of predetermined specifications and quality attributes (*i.e.*, statistical confidence level/error rate⁷) for accepting and implementing the threshold(s) into operations.
- b) a description of the samples, test methods, electropherograms and data used to calculate the threshold(s).
- c) any formulae or theory applied to compute the thresholds.
- d) reference literature as appropriate.

4.3.2 The validation report, all data, data analyses, and calculations used to determine the analytical and stochastic thresholds shall be maintained by the laboratory.

5 Conformance

Documented conformance to these requirements needs to be: (1) approved by the laboratory's DNA Technical Leader or other appropriate personnel (2) communicated to all analysts during training, and (3) made readily available for review (*e.g.*, by auditors or inspectors, stakeholders who use reports generated by the laboratory, etc.).

To demonstrate conformance with this standard, the laboratory shall meet the requirements in the ANSI/ASB Standard 038, *Standard for Internal Validation of Forensic DNA Analysis Methods*. 2020. 1st. Ed.

⁷ Type 1 Error, the rejection of a true null hypothesis (*e.g.*, a 99% confidence level has a 1% error rate). In the context of the analytical threshold, this represents the probability that an instrument noise peak will exceed the analytical threshold. In the context of the stochastic threshold, this represents the probability that a true heterozygous peak will exceed the stochastic threshold while the sister allele has dropped out.

Annex A
(informative)
Bibliography

- 1] Gilder, J., Doom, T., Inman, K. and Krane, D. Run-specific limits of detection and quantitation for STR-based DNA testing. *J For. Sciences*, 2007, 52:(1):97-101.
- 2] Bieber, F.R., Buckleton, J.S., Budowle, B., Butler, J.M. and Coble, M.D. "Evaluation of forensic DNA mixture evidence: protocol for evaluation, interpretation, and statistical calculations using the combined probability of inclusion." *BMC genetics* 17.1 (2016): 125.
- 3] Butts, E.L., Kline, M., Duewer, D., Hill, C., Butler, J.M. and Vallone, P. "NIST validation studies on the 3500 Genetic Analyzer." *Forensic Science International: Genetics Supplement Series* 3.1 (2011): e184-e185.
- 4] Gill, P., Puch-Solis, R. and Curran, J. "The low-template-DNA (stochastic) threshold—its determination relative to risk analysis for national DNA databases." *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 3.2 (2009): 104-111.
- 5] Mönich, U.J., Duffy, K., Medard, M., Cadambe, V., Alfonse, L.E. and Grgicak C. "Probabilistic characterisation of baseline noise in STR profiles." *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 19 (2015): 107-122.
- 6] Puch-Solis, R., Kirkham, A.J., Gill, P., Read, J., Watson, S. and Drew, D. "Practical determination of the low template DNA threshold." *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 5.5 (2011): 422-427.
- 7] Rowan, K.E., Wellner, G.A. and Grgicak, C.M. "Exploring the impacts of ordinary laboratory alterations during forensic DNA processing on peak height variation, thresholds, and probability of dropout." *Journal of forensic sciences* 61.1 (2016): 177-185.
- 8] Federal Bureau of Investigations Quality Assurance Standards (QAS) for Forensic DNA Testing Laboratories <https://www.fbi.gov/file-repository/quality-assurance-standards-for-forensic-dna-testing-laboratories.pdf>
- 9] NISTIR 6919, Recommended Guide for Determining and Reporting Uncertainties for Balances and Scales, Val Miller, State Laboratory Program, Weights and Measures Division National Institute of Standards and Technology, Technology Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.