OSAC 2023-N-0005
Standard Practice for Training a Forensic Glass Practitioner

Trace Materials Subcommittee
Chemistry: Trace Evidence Scientific Area Committee
Organization of Scientific Area Committees (OSAC) for Forensic Science

OSAC Proposed Standard

OSAC 2023-N-0005
Standard Practice for Training a Forensic Glass Practitioner

Prepared by
Trace Materials Subcommittee
Version: 2.0
July 2024

Disclaimer:

This OSAC Proposed Standard was written by the Trace Materials Subcommittee of the Organization of Scientific Area Committees (OSAC) for Forensic Science following a process that includes an open comment period. This Proposed Standard will be submitted to a standard developing organization and is subject to change.

There may be references in an OSAC Proposed Standard to other publications under development by OSAC. The information in the Proposed Standard, and underlying concepts and methodologies, may be used by the forensic-science community before the completion of such companion publications.

Any identification of commercial equipment, instruments, or materials in the Proposed Standard is not a recommendation or endorsement by the U.S. Government and does not imply that the equipment, instruments, or materials are necessarily the best available for the purpose.

Rationale: **The OSAC Materials (Trace) Subcommittee has developed a training document for the forensic analysis of glass. This document was created through a consensus process. It is anticipated that the standard will be used by practitioners and laboratories to develop a training program for the forensic analysis of glass. Legal or scientific terms that are generally understood or defined adequately in other readily available sources may not be included in this standard.**

Standard Practice for Training a Forensic Glass Practitioner

1 Scope

1.1 This practice is for use by forensic science service provider (FSSP) personnel responsible for designing a training program for the training of forensic science practitioners (FSPs) who will perform glass examinations and comparisons.

1.2 The trainees and training program shall meet or exceed the minimum training requirements set forth in Practice E2917.

1.3 This practice outlines the tasks, goals, and objectives that allow the trainee to acquire the foundational knowledge and basic practical skills necessary to become a qualified forensic glass practitioner.

1.4 *This international standard was developed in accordance with internationally recognized principles on standardization established in the Decision on Principles for the Development of International Standards, Guides and Recommendations issued by the World Trade Organization Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) Committee.*

2 Referenced Documents

2.1 ASTM Standards:

E2917 Practice for Forensic Science Practitioner Training, Continuing Education, and Professional Development Programs

C162 Terminology of Glass and Glass Products

C1036 Specification for Flat Glass

C1256 Practice for Interpreting Fracture Features

E456 Terminology Relating to Quality and Statistics

E1459 Guide for Physical Evidence Labeling and Related Documentation

E1492 Practice for Receiving, Documenting, Storing, and Retrieving Evidence in a Forensic Science Laboratory

WK72932 Guide for the Collection, Analysis and Comparison of Forensic Glass Samples

E1732 Terminology Relating to Forensic Science

E1967 Test Method for the Automated Determination of Refractive Index of Glass Samples Using the Oil Immersion Method and a Phase Contrast Microscope

E2926 Test Method for the Forensic Comparison of Glass Using Micro X-ray Fluorescence (μ-XRF) Spectrometry

E2927 Test Method for Determination of Trace Elements in Soda-Lime Glass Samples Using Laser Ablation Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (LA-ICP-MS) for Forensic Comparisons

E2330 Test Method for Determination of Concentrations of Elements in Glass Samples Using Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS) for Forensic Comparisons

E620 Practice for Reporting Opinions of Scientific or Technical Experts

2.2 Other Documents:

2.2.1 Association of Analytical Chemists (AOAC) Method: 973.65 Emmons Double Variation

2.2.2 ISO/IEC 17025 General Requirements for the Competence of Testing and Calibration Laboratories

2.2.3 ANSI ANAB AR3125

2.2.4 OSAC 2022-S-0029, *Standard Guide for Interpretation and Reporting in Forensic Comparisons of Trace Materials*

2.2.5 OSAC 2022-S-0015, *Standard Guide for Physical Fit Examination*

3 Significance and Use

3.1 This practice details a training program to identify the necessary information and guidelines for preparing a trainee to become a qualified forensic glass practitioner. Throughout the training program, the trainee is under the direct supervision of an expert who is deemed qualified by the FSSP as a trainer or supervisor of glass analysis. Upon successful completion of the program and demonstration of competency, a trained FSP is capable of independently

performing appropriate examinations, interpreting analytical results, writing reports, and testifying in court.

3.2 A glass analysis training program includes all the standard test methods and techniques used in the forensic examination of glass. This can include techniques beyond those that are covered by the FSSP's procedures.

3.2.1 This training program provides an overview of analytical techniques and instrumental methods utilized in glass analysis. If the trainee is not yet competent in a particular method or instrument that is used by the FSSP, additional training is required.

3.3 Additional glass analysis training beyond that which is listed here should be made available to the trainee. Such training could include off-site courses, tours of manufacturing plants, and specialized training by experienced practitioners or subject matter experts.

3.4 Continuing education and training is recommended. Additional training provides a forensic glass practitioner with the opportunity to remain current in the field. Continuing education requirements are addressed by FSSPs, standard developing organizations, accreditation bodies, or licensing bodies (e.g., Practice E2917).

3.5 Section 6 of this practice provides the foundation for a comprehensive training program in the forensic examination of glass. It is expected that an individual FSSP will modify portions of the program to appropriately correspond to the available instrumentation and services offered by that FSSP.

3.6 Section 6 is in a modular format for adaptation suited to an individual FSSP's training program. The order in which the individual modules are taught is determined by the FSSP. Recommendations as to lessons, practical exercises, progress monitoring, and trainee evaluations are included. Reading assignments are listed in each subsequent section of this practice; full citations are available in the References section.

4 Responsibilities

4.1 Each trainee is trained by and works under the guidance of one or more trainers (see 4.2).

4.1.1 The trainee shall meet or exceed the minimum training criteria set forth in Practice E2917 and the objectives set forth in the training program.

4.2 A trainer shall be technically qualified in forensic glass examination and comparison or associated analytical techniques. Other members of the laboratory are encouraged to offer relevant information regarding their specialty to the trainee. The trainer(s) is responsible for:

4.2.1 Documenting the training program and evaluating the progress of the trainee in each stage of the training process.

4.2.2 Introducing the trainee to the relevant scientific literature, appropriate procedures, training material, and reference collections.

4.2.3 Updating the reading assignments to include recent relevant scientific papers and standards and practices.

4.2.4 Discussing readings and theory with the trainee.

4.2.5 Teaching basic microscopy and instrumental methods for the analysis and comparison of glass evidence.

4.2.6 Teaching case management, to include: chain of custody documentation; evidence processing, preservation, and storage; decision-making criteria (including the effects of cognitive bias); data interpretation; documentation of analyses; report writing; and laboratory safety protocols, teaching and modeling case management.

4.2.7 Fostering ethical professional conduct.

4.2.8 Discussing how biases in human judgment can arise, potential consequences of such biases, and how they can be mitigated and documented.

4.2.9 Teaching appropriate quality assurance and quality control procedures.

4.2.10 Reviewing practical exercises, tests, and casework samples with the trainee.

4.2.11 Teaching expert testimony skills through moot court and observation.

4.3 Each laboratory maintains:

4.3.1 An up-to-date written training program which is reviewed and assessed for efficacy and relevance as described in Practice E2917.

4.3.2 Documentation of training according to Practice E2917 and the FSSP's specific requirements.

4.3.2.1 Documentation of, at a minimum, the questions, expected answers, and an evaluation of the trainee's responses for the training file.

4.3.3 Documentation of competency tests, proficiency tests, and criteria for acceptance.

5 Syllabus

5.1 A glass analysis training program provides the trainee theoretical knowledge and practical skills in examining, interpreting, reporting, testifying, reviewing forensic glass cases, and understanding the principles of cognitive bias and their relationship to glass analysis. This is accomplished through a combination of the following training methods:

5.1.1 *Reading of relevant literature*

5.1.1.1 The reading assignments listed are suggestions. Newer versions can be used. Other relevant literature can be used or added.

5.1.1.2 Where specific page numbers are not listed, it is the trainer's discretion to specify the appropriate sections.

5.1.2 *Instruction and observation of forensic glass practitioners*

5.1.2.1 Lectures and discussions

5.1.2.2 Practical demonstration of basic skills

5.1.2.3 Casework

5.1.2.4 Report writing

5.1.2.5 Court testimony

5.1.3 *Practical skills*

5.1.3.1 Practical exercises that include analysis of reference materials and known samples

5.1.3.2 Blind practical exercises for which the instructor has the known ground truth

5.1.4 *Final competency evaluations*

5.1.4.1 Written or oral tests

5.1.4.2 Practical laboratory tests

5.1.4.3 Mock cases

5.1.4.4 Moot court or oral exam

5.1.5 *Performing supervised casework*

5.2 The projected training period is between three to six months, full time, for a forensic practitioner that has been previously trained and is competent in the analytical techniques utilized in the analysis of glass evidence. For new practitioners with no previous training in microscopical or instrumental techniques, the projected training period is between nine to twelve months.

5.3 Successful completion of each milestone in the training program will be recorded using the guidance set forth in Practice E2917.

6 Objectives

6.1 *Encountering Glass Evidence*

6.1.1 This section introduces the trainee to the types of cases and the various conditions in which glass is encountered as physical evidence.

6.1.2 Types of cases that could be encountered include the identification of samples as glass, the comparison of questioned and known glass samples, glass end use determination, direction of force determination, fractography, physical fit determination of questioned and known glass samples and significance determination.

6.1.3 Types of glass that could be encountered as evidence include automotive glass, architectural glass, container glass, and other specialty glasses.

6.1.4 *Reading Assignments*

6.1.4.1 De Forest, "What is Trace Evidence?," pp. 17-19 (1).

6.1.4.2 Curran, et al., “Forensic Interpretation of Glass Evidence,” pp. 1-10 (2).

6.1.4.3 Koons, et al., “Forensic glass comparisons,” pp. 169-173 (3).

6.1.4.4 Curran, et al., “Interpretation of Glass Evidence,” pp. 377-420 (4).

6.1.4.5 Almirall and Trejos, “Analysis of Glass Evidence,” pp 228-272 (5).

6.1.4.6 Trejos, et al., “Scientific Foundations and Current State of Trace Evidence—a Review,” pp 12-13 (6).

6.1.4.7 Bottrell, “Forensic Glass Comparison: Background Information Used in Data Interpretation,” pp. 1-21 (7).

6.1.5 *Practical Exercise*

6.1.5.1 Demonstrate knowledge of the types of cases and the various conditions in which glass is encountered as physical evidence through an oral or written exercise.

6.1.6 The methods of instruction for this unit are reading and research by the trainee and discussions with the trainer(s).

6.1.7 The method of evaluation for this unit is a review of the trainee's completed exercise by the trainer.

6.2 *Glass Terminology*

6.2.1 This section introduces the trainee to frequently encountered terminology. Additional terminology will be encountered throughout the reading assignments.

6.2.1.1 annealing

6.2.1.2 blown glass

6.2.1.3 borosilicate glass

6.2.1.4 cast glass

6.2.1.5 concentric fractures

6.2.1.6 conchoidal fracture

6.2.1.7 cullet

6.2.1.8 dispersion

6.2.1.9 drawn glass

6.2.1.10 fiberglass

6.2.1.11 flat glass

6.2.1.12 float glass

6.2.1.13 frit

6.2.1.14 glass

6.2.1.15 hackle

6.2.1.16 hertzian cone

6.2.1.17 hinge fracture

6.2.1.18 laminated glass

6.2.1.19 mirror

6.2.1.20 mist hackle

6.2.1.21 plate glass

6.2.1.22 radial fractures

6.2.1.23 ream

6.2.1.24 refractive index

6.2.1.25 soda-lime glass

6.2.1.26 tempering

6.2.1.27 Wallner line

6.2.2 *Reading Assignments*

6.2.2.1 C162 Standard Terminology of Glass and Glass Products.

6.2.2.2 Practice C1256.

6.2.2.3 Guide WK72932, sections 3, 5, and 8.

6.2.2.4 OSAC Lexicon, https://lexicon.forensicosac.org.

6.2.3 *Practical Exercises*

6.2.3.1 Define the terms listed in this section.

6.2.4 The methods of instruction for this unit are reading and research by the trainee.

6.2.5 The method of evaluation for this unit is an oral or written examination.

6.3 *The Use and Composition of Glass*

6.3.1 This section introduces the trainee to the uses and compositions of different types of glass to include the following:

6.3.1.1 The significance of main components used for making glass, such as formers, modifiers, colorants, decolorants, and refining agents.

6.3.1.2 Classification of glass by chemical composition (e.g., soda lime, borosilicate, leaded glass)

6.3.1.3 End-use applications of various types of glass (e.g., containers, tempered glass, laminated glass, coated glass, glass fibers, specialty glass)

6.3.2 *Reading Assignments*

6.3.2.1 Koons, et al., "Forensic glass comparisons," pp. 169–173 (3).

6.3.2.2 Almirall and Trejos, "Analysis of Glass Evidence," pp 228-272 (5).

6.3.3 *Practical Exercise*

6.3.3.1 Explain the uses and differences of the glass components listed in this section.

6.3.4 The methods of instruction for this unit are reading and research by the trainee.

6.3.5 The method of evaluation for this unit is an oral or written examination.

6.4 *Manufacturing Processes*

6.4.1 This section introduces the trainee to glass manufacturing and application processes to include the following:

6.4.1.1 Fundamentals of glass chemistry

6.4.1.2 How raw materials are acquired, stored, and mixed

6.4.1.3 How flat glass is produced

6.4.1.4 How flat glass is modified (shaping, coating, toughening, laminating)

6.4.1.5 How container glass is produced

6.4.1.6 How different sorts of specialty glass are produced (e.g. portable electronic device glass, borosilicate glass, optical glass, glass ceramics and light bulb glass)

6.4.1.7 Glass manufacturer's quality control process

6.4.1.8 Variation of glass properties during production times within a single plant and between different plants

6.4.1.9 How glass is distributed in the market

6.4.1.10 Current trends in glass industry and distribution

6.4.2 *Reading Assignments*

6.4.2.1 Pfaender, “Schott Guide to Glass” (8).

6.4.2.2 Charnock, “The float glass process,” pp. 153–156 (9).

6.4.2.3 Seyfang, et al., “Glass fragments from portable electronic devices: Implications for forensic examinations,” pp. 442-452 (10).

6.4.2.4 “The World of Glass” (11).

6.4.2.5 Copley, “The composition and manufacture of glass and its domestic and industrial applications,” pp. 27-46 (12).

6.4.2.6 Gläser, “Low-emissive coatings on the outer surface of heat insulating glasses - a challenge to the flat glass industry,” pp. 12-19 (13).

6.4.2.7 Koons, et al., “Forensic glass comparisons,” pp. 163–169 (3).

6.4.3 *Practical Exercises*

6.4.3.1 Explain the manufacturing and application processes of glass.

6.4.3.2 Visit glass manufacturing facilities when practical and view manufacturing videos.

6.4.4 The method of instruction for this unit is reading and watching videos and other training resources by the trainee.

6.4.5 The method of evaluation for this unit is an oral or written examination.

6.5 *Overview of Forensic Glass Examinations*

6.5.1 This section introduces the trainee to the basic steps in forensic glass examinations and how these steps are used to characterize the glass. This section also introduces the trainee to the current guides for the forensic examination of glass and to the various ways in which human reasoning and judgment is involved in these tasks.

6.5.2 *Reading Assignments*

6.5.2.1 Laboratory specific glass analysis procedure(s).

6.5.2.2 Scientific Working Group for Materials Analysis (SWGMAT), “Trace Evidence Recovery Guidelines” (14).

6.5.2.3 Guide WK72932.

6.5.2.4 Trejos, et al., “Scientific Foundations and Current State of Trace Evidence—a Review,” pp. 13-16 (6).

6.5.2.5 Dror, “Cognitive and Human Factors in Expert Decision Making: Six Fallacies and the Eight Sources of Bias,” pp. 7998-8004 (15).

6.5.3 *Practical Exercises* - None.

6.5.4 The methods of instruction for this unit are reading by the trainee and lecture from the trainer.

6.5.5 The method of evaluation for this unit is an oral or written examination.

6.6 *Search, Collection, and Preservation Techniques for Glass Evidence*

6.6.1 This section introduces the trainee to methods for locating, collecting, and preserving all types of glass evidence. The trainee is exposed to evidence handling issues such as transfer, persistence, and loss of trace evidence. Topics include the following:

6.6.1.1 The recognition of glass fragments

6.6.1.2 The use of visual examinations and low power magnification

6.6.1.3 The use of the particle picking, taping, and scraping methods to collect loose debris

6.6.1.4 Understanding the persistence, transfer, and loss of glass evidence

6.6.1.5 Preservation techniques appropriate for various types of glass evidence

6.6.1.6 Recognizing the potential impact of biased sampling, learning irrelevant information, and cross-contamination

6.6.2 *Reading Assignments*

6.6.2.1 Guide E1459.

6.6.2.2 Practice E1492.

6.6.2.3 Guide WK72932.

6.6.2.4 Palenik, “Microscopy and Microchemistry of Physical Evidence,” pp. 164-171 (16).

6.6.2.5 Pearson, et al., "Glass and Paint Fragments Found in Men's Outer Clothing - Report of a Survey," pp. 283–300 (17).

6.6.2.6 Scientific Working Group for Materials Analysis (SWGMAT), “Trace Evidence Recovery Guidelines,” pp. 1-7 (14).

6.6.2.7 Scientific Working Group for Materials Analysis (SWGMAT), “Trace Evidence Quality Assurance Guidelines,” pp. 1-9, 15-17 (18).

6.6.2.8 Buzzini and Yu, “General Principles and Techniques of Trace Evidence Collection,” pp. 75-97 (19).

6.6.2.9 Curran, et al., “Forensic Interpretation of Glass Evidence,” pp. 87-131 (2).

6.6.2.10 Allen and Scranage, “The transfer of glass - part 1 - Transfer of glass to individuals at different distances,” pp. 167-174 (20).

6.6.2.11 Allen, et al., “The transfer of glass - part 2 - A study of the transfer of glass to a person by various methods,” pp. 175-193 (21).

6.6.2.12 Allen, et al., “The transfer of glass - part 3 - the transfer of glass from a contaminated person to another uncontaminated person during a ride in a car,” pp. 195-200 (22).

6.6.2.13 Allen, et al., “The transfer of glass - part 4 - the transfer of glass fragments from the surface of an item to the person carrying it,” pp. 201-208 (23).

6.6.2.14 Curran, et al., “Assessing transfer probabilities in a Bayesian interpretation of forensic glass evidence,” pp. 15-21 (24).

6.6.2.15 Harrison, et al., “A survey of glass fragments recovered from clothing of persons suspected of involvement in crime,” pp. 171-187 (25).

6.6.2.16 Lambert, et al, “A survey of glass fragments recovered from clothing of persons suspected of involvement in crime,” pp. 273-281 (26).

6.6.2.17 Lau, et al., ”The frequency of occurrence of paint and glass on the clothing of high school students,” pp. 233-240 (27).

6.6.2.18 Locke and Unikowski, “Breaking of flat glass - Part 1: Size and distribution of particles from plain glass windows,” pp. 251-262 (28).

6.6.2.19 Locke and Unikowski, “Breaking of flat glass - Part 2: Effect of pane parameters on particle distribution,” pp. 95-106 (29).

6.6.2.20 Locke and Scrange, “Breaking of flat glass - Part 3: Surface particles from windows and windscreens,” pp. 73-80 (30).

6.6.2.21 Allen, et al., “Breaking of flat glass - Part 4: Size and distribution of fragments from vehicle windscreens,” pp. 209-218 (31).

6.6.2.22 Petterd, et al., “Glass particles in the clothing of members of the public in south-eastern Australia - a survey,” pp. 193-198. (32).

6.6.2.23 Roux, et al., “Glass particles in footwear of members of the public in south-eastern Australia - a survey,” pp. 149-156 (33).

6.6.2.24 Alexander, et al, “The random presence of glass and paint on the clothing and footwear of members of the general population: A US baseline survey at various seasons,” pp. 790-806. (34).

6.6.2.25 Spellman, et al., “Challenges to reasoning in forensic science decisions,” Sections 1 and 2, pp. 2-10 (35).

6.6.3 *Practical Exercises*

6.6.3.1 Perform collections of glass fragments of different sizes from a variety of materials utilizing the methods learned above.

6.6.3.2 Demonstrate appropriate packaging techniques for debris collected and items of evidence, including known and questioned samples.

6.6.3.3 Demonstrate appropriate evidence collection strategies at the crime scene and at the laboratory to collect representative samples and prevent cross contamination.

6.6.4 The methods of instruction for this unit are reading by the trainee and practical instruction from the trainer.

6.6.5 The method of evaluation for this unit is an evaluation of the practical exercises.

6.7 *Fractography & Physical Fit of Glass*

6.7.1 This section introduces the trainee to the evaluation of broken glass objects for characterization and reassembly. Topics include:

6.7.1.1 Determining the cause (e.g., type of fracture, origin, relative velocity) and direction of the breaking force

6.7.1.2 Determining the sequence of multiple impacts

6.7.1.3 Realigning two or more fragments to determine if they were at one time a single unit

6.7.2 Reading assignments

6.7.2.1 Practice C1256.

6.7.2.2 Guide WK72932, Section 7.

6.7.2.3 OSAC 2022-S-0015.

6.7.2.4 Quinn, “Fractography of Ceramics and Glasses” (36).

6.7.2.5 Thornton, “Interpretation of physical aspects of glass evidence,” pp. 97-119 (37).

6.7.2.6 Thornton and Cashman, “Glass Fracture Mechanism – A Rethinking,” pp. 818-824 (38).

6.7.2.7 Welch, et al., “The observation of banding in glass fragments and its forensic significance,” pp. 5-13 (39).

6.7.2.8 Lentini, “Behavior of Glass at Elevated Temperatures,” pp. 1358-1362 (40).

6.7.2.9 Michalshke and Bunker, “The Fracturing of Glass,” pp. 122-129 (41).

6.7.2.10 Katterwe, “Fracture Matching and Repetitive Experiments: A Contribution of Validation,” pp. 229-241 (42).

6.7.2.11 Koons, “Forensic Glass Comparisons,” pp. 173-177 (3).

6.7.2.12 Spellman, et al., “Challenges to reasoning in forensic science decisions,” Section 4, pp. 12-15 (34).

6.7.2.13 Baca, et al., “Determination of Fracture Patterns in Glass and Glassy Polymers,” pp. S92-S101 (43).

6.7.3 *Practical Exercises*

6.7.3.1 Reconstruct various broken glass objects.

6.7.3.2 Determine the cause and origin of fractures using samples provided by the trainer that have known answers.

6.7.3.3 Determine the sequence of multiple impacts using samples provided by the trainer that have known answers.

6.7.3.4 Determine the direction of the breaking force using samples provided by the trainer that have known answers.

6.7.3.5 Document hypotheses that were considered and rejected and the support for both discarded and remaining hypotheses to discuss the thought processes involved in the interpretation.

6.7.4 The methods of instruction for this unit are reading by the trainee and practical instruction from the trainer.

6.7.5 The method of evaluation for this unit is an evaluation of the practical exercises.

6.8 *Physical and Microscopical Characteristics of Glass*

6.8.1 This section introduces the trainee to the recognition, description, and categorization of glass. Topics include:

6.8.1.1 Macroscopical and microscopical properties of glass and glass fragments

6.8.1.2 Microscopical techniques including stereomicroscopy and polarized light microscopy

6.8.1.3 Categories of glass distinguishable by these techniques

6.8.2 *Reading assignments*

6.8.2.1 Delly, et al. “Polarized Light Microscopy,” pp. 1-64, 125-188 (44).

6.8.2.2 DeForest, “Foundations of Forensic Microscopy,” pp. 216-319 (45).

6.8.2.3 Hamer, "Microscopic techniques for glass examination," pp. 47-64. (46).

6.8.2.4 Elliott et al., “The Microscopic Examination of Glass Surfaces,” pp. 459-471 (47).

6.8.2.5 Curran, et al., “Forensic Interpretation of Glass Evidence,” pp. 10-11, 15-17 (2).

6.8.2.6 Locke, “New Developments in the Forensic Examination of Glass,” pp. 1-11 (48).

6.8.2.7 Danielzik, et al., “Overview - Thin Films on Glass: an Established Technology,” pp 1-7 (49).

6.8.2.8 Guide WK72932, Sections 8 - 10.

6.8.3 *Practical Exercises*

6.8.3.1 Describe and categorize a set of glass samples. Samples should consist of a variety of glass samples including float, non-float, flat, curved, tempered, untempered, colored, fiberglass, cast glass, laminated glass, glass of various thicknesses, and glass fragments of various sizes.

6.8.3.2 View online manufacturer demonstrations of various microscope techniques and configurations.

6.8.4 The methods of instruction for this unit are reading by the trainee and practical instruction from the trainer.

6.8.5 The method of evaluation for this unit is an evaluation of the practical exercise.

6.9 *Statistical analysis overview*

6.9.1 This section introduces the trainee to some basic concepts of statistics and chemometrics that are helpful in the evaluation of analytical data during forensic glass examinations. Topics include the following:

6.9.1.1 Types of data (e.g., continuous, discrete, nominal, univariate, multivariate)

6.9.1.2 Descriptive statistics (e.g., mean and median values, standard deviation, variance, bias)

6.9.1.3 Calibration methods in instrumental analysis in glass examinations (e.g., external calibration, internal standardization, matrix matched standards, linear regression)

6.9.1.4 Measurement uncertainty, propagation of errors, and reporting significant figures

6.9.1.5 Types of errors in quantitative analysis

6.9.1.6 Precision, bias, and accuracy

6.9.1.7 Handling of systematic errors and testing for outliers

6.9.1.8 The distribution of repeated measurements and confidence limits

6.9.1.9 Comparison criteria used in the examination of glass

6.9.1.10 Estimating and reporting of figures of merit (signal to noise ratio, limit of detection, limit of quantification, linear dynamic range, selectivity, bias, precision)

6.9.1.11 Evaluation of performance measures in glass examinations (error or misclassification rates, discrimination power, selectivity, sensitivity, accuracy)

6.9.1.12 Introduction to quality control methods for glass measurements

6.9.1.13 Introduction to frequency and probability

6.9.1.14 Introduction to the two-stage approach, hypothesis testing, and likelihood ratios approach for the comparison of data from glass examinations

6.9.2 *Reading assignments*

6.9.2.1 Miller and Miller, “Statistics and Chemometrics for Analytical Chemistry” (50).

6.9.2.2 Zadora, et al., “Statistical Analysis in Forensic Science: Evidential Value of Multivariate Physicochemical Data” (51).

6.9.2.3 Curran, et al., “Interpretation of Glass Evidence,” pp. 377-420 (4).

6.9.2.4 Curran, et al., “Forensic Interpretation of Glass Evidence,” pp. 1-178 (2).

6.9.2.5 Evett, “Bayesian Inference and Forensic Science: Problems and Perspectives,” pp. 99-105 (52).

6.9.2.6 American Statistical Association (ASA), “American Statistical Association Position on Statistical Statements for Forensic Evidence,” pp. 1-5 (53).

6.9.3 *Practical Exercise*

6.9.3.1 Practical exercises for statistical calculations using spreadsheet software with mock case data or available literature data, such as refractive index and elemental analysis data, provided by the trainer that have known answers.

6.9.4 The methods of instruction for this unit are reading by the trainee and practical instruction from the trainer.

6.9.5 The method of evaluation for this unit is an evaluation of the practical exercise.

6.10 *Refractive Index*

6.10.1 This section introduces the trainee to automated determination of refractive index of glass samples using the oil immersion method and a phase contrast microscope. Topics include:

6.10.1.1 Fundamentals of refractive index and refractive index determinations

6.10.1.2 Fundamentals of phase contrast microscopy

6.10.1.3 Preparation of glass samples for the measurements

6.10.1.4 Instrument set-up and calibration, quality control check

6.10.1.5 Measurement procedure and measurement parameters

6.10.1.6 General preventive maintenance requirements of the instrument

6.10.1.7 Laboratory annealing

6.10.1.8 Databases, population studies, and discrimination by refractive index

6.10.1.9 Measurement uncertainty, comparison criteria

6.10.2 Reading assignments

6.10.2.1 Test Method E1967.

6.10.2.2 Guide WK72932, Sections 12-14.

6.10.2.3 Dabbs and Pearson, “The Variation in Refractive Index and Density Across Two Sheets of Window Glass,” pp. 139-148 (54).

6.10.2.4 Locke and Hayes, “Refractive index variations across glass objects and the influence of annealing,” pp. 147-157 (55).

6.10.2.5 Zoro, et al., “An investigation of refractive index anomalies at the surface of glass objects and windows,” pp. 127-141 (56).

6.10.2.6 Bennett et al., “Spatial variation of refractive index in a pane of float glass,” pp. 71-76 (57).

6.10.2.7 Munger, et al, “Determining the refractive index variation within panes of vehicular windshield glass,” pp. 1351-1357 (58).

6.10.2.8 Cassista and Sandercock, “Precision of Glass Refractive Index Measurements: Temperature Variation and Double Variation Methods, and the Value of Dispersion,” pp. 203-208 (59).

6.10.2.9 Davies, et al., “An investigation of bulk and surface refractive indices for flat window glasses, patterned window glasses and windscreen glasses,” pp. 125-137 (60).

6.10.2.10 Koons and Buscaglia, “Distribution of Refractive Index Values in Sheet Glasses,” pp. 1-3 (61).

6.10.2.11Koons and Buscaglia, “Forensic Significance of Glass Composition and Refractive Index Measurements,” pp. 496-503 (62).

6.10.2.12 Locke, “GRIM: A semi-automatic device for measuring the refractive index of glass particles,” pp. 169-178 (63).

6.10.2.13 Locke and Underhill, “Automatic refractive index measurements of glass particles,” pp. 247-260 (64).

6.10.2.14 Underhill, “Multiple refractive index in float glass,” pp. 169-176 (65).

6.10.2.15 Koons, et al., “Forensic Glass Comparisons,” pp. 186-202 (3).

6.10.2.16 Sandercock, “Sample Size Considerations for Control Glass in Casework,” pp. 173-185 (66).

6.10.2.17 Garvin and Koons, “Evaluation of match criteria used for the comparison of refractive index of glass fragments,” pp. 491-500 (67).

6.10.2.18 Alamilla, et al., “Validation of an analytical method for the refractive index measurement of glass fragments. Application to a hit-and-run incident,” pp. 1178-1184 (68).

6.10.2.19 Locke, et al., “The identification of toughened glass by annealing,” pp. 295-301 (69).

6.10.2.20 Locke, et al., “The design of equipment and thermal routines for annealing glass particles,” pp. 139-146 (70).

6.10.2.21 Locke and Rockett, “The application of annealing to improve the discrimination between glasses,” pp. 237-245 (71).

6.10.2.22 Locke, et al., “A comparison of long and short schedules for the annealing of glass particles,” pp. 247-258 (72).

6.10.2.23 Newton and Buckleton, “An investigation into the relationship between edge counts and the variability of the refractive index of glass. Part I: Edge morphology,” pp. 24-31 (73).

6.10.2.24 Marcouiller, J.M., “A revised glass annealing method to distinguish glass types,” pp. 554-559 (74).

6.10.2.25 Manufacturer manuals and tutorials.

6.10.3 Practical Exercises

6.10.3.1 Practical exercises include sample and standards preparation, proper handling of chemicals, performing a calibration curve, measuring samples with known refractive index, before and after annealing, to test method performance, precision and bias.

6.10.3.2 Diagram and describe the components of a RI instrument.

6.10.4 The methods of instruction for this unit are reading by the trainee and practical instruction from the trainer.

6.10.5 The method of evaluation for this unit is an evaluation of the practical exercises.

6.11 *Introduction to elemental analysis of glass*

6.11.1 This section introduces the trainee to the fundamentals of the elemental analysis of glass. The following topics are included:

6.11.1.1 Introduction to the purpose and scope of elemental analysis in forensic science

6.11.1.2 Premises and bases for the application of elemental analysis in the forensic comparison of glass

6.11.1.3 Identification of instrumental method's requirements for the forensic elemental analysis of glass

6.11.1.4 Sources of variability in the elemental composition of glass

6.11.1.5 Overview of standard test methods for the elemental comparison of glass

6.11.1.6 Comparison of capabilities and limitations of instrumental methods for the elemental analysis of glass

6.11.2 *Reading assignments*

6.11.2.1 Koons, et al., "Forensic Glass Comparisons," pp. 169-173 (3).

6.11.2.2 Guide WK72932, Section 15.

6.11.2.3 Trejos, et al., "Scientific Foundations and Current State of Trace Evidence—a Review," pp. 12-13. (6).

6.11.2.4 Almirall and Trejos, "Analysis of Glass Evidence," pp. 228-272 (5).

6.11.3 The methods of instruction for this unit are reading by the trainee and discussions with the trainer.

6.11.4 The method of evaluation for this unit is a written examination.

6.12 *Micro-X-ray Fluorescence (μ-XRF) Spectrometry*

6.12.1 This section introduces the trainee to the examination and comparison of a variety of glasses based on elemental analysis using μ-XRF. Topics include:

6.12.1.1 Fundamentals of μ-XRF, including:

Primary and secondary X-rays
Characteristic and non-characteristic X-ray emissions
Nomenclature for the identification of characteristic X-ray emission lines
Instrumental configurations and measurement parameters
Detector types
Analysis depth (i.e., Critical depth effects)

Spectral artifacts
Signal to Noise (S/N) ratios

6.12.1.2 Relevant elements in glass examinations and their respective characteristic X-ray lines

6.12.1.3 Sample preparation for analysis by μ-XRF

6.12.1.4 Data collection

6.12.1.5 Comparison of samples based upon their elemental components

6.12.1.6 General preventive maintenance requirements of the instrument

6.12.1.7 Quality control checks

6.12.1.8 Strengths and limitations of the technique

6.12.2 *Reading Assignments*

6.12.2.1 Goldstein, et al., "Scanning Electron Microscopy and X-Ray Microanalysis" (75).

6.12.2.2 Brouwer, "Theory of XRF," pp. 1-57. (76).

6.12.2.3 Test Method E2926

6.12.2.4 Buscaglia, "Elemental analysis of small glass fragments in forensic science," pp. 17-24 (77).

6.12.2.5 Trejos, et al., "Cross-validation and evaluation of the performance of methods for the elemental analysis of forensic glass by µ-XRF, ICP-MS, and LA-ICP-MS," pp. 5393-5409 (78).

6.12.2.6 Trejos, et al., "Forensic analysis of glass by µ-XRF, SN-ICP-MS, LA-ICP-MS, and LA-ICP-OES: evaluation of the performance of difference criteria for comparing elemental composition," pp. 1270-1282 (79).

6.12.2.7 Naes, et al., "A comparison of laser ablation inductively coupled mass spectrometry, micro X-ray fluorescence spectroscopy, and laser induced breakdown spectroscopy for the discrimination of automotive glass," pp. 1145-1150 (80).

6.12.2.8 Ryland, "Discrimination of Flat (Sheet) Glass Specimens Having Similar Refractive Indices Using Micro X-Ray Fluorescence Spectrometry," pp. 2-12 (81).

6.12.2.9 Ernst, et al., "Signal-to noise ratios in forensic glass analysis by micro X-Ray fluorescence spectrometry," pp. 13-21 (82).

6.12.2.10 Corzo and Steel, “Improving signal-to-noise ratio for the forensic analysis of glass using micro X-Ray fluorescence spectrometry,” pp. 679-689 (83).

6.12.2.11 Corzo, et al., “An interlaboratory study evaluating the interpretation of forensic glass evidence using refractive index measurements and elemental composition,” pp. 1-10 (84).

6.12.2.12 Buhrke, et al., “A Practical Guide for the Preparation of Specimens for X-ray Fluorescence and X-ray Diffraction Analysis” (85).

6.12.2.13 Ernst, et al., “Forensic Examination of Ceramic Frit on Automotive Glass,” pp 22-44 (86).

6.12.2.14 Ovid, et al., “Assessment of performance rates on the elemental comparison of small and irregular glass fragments using µ-XRF and LIBS,” pp 1-17 (87)

6.12.2.15 Manufacturer manuals and tutorials.

6.12.3 *Practical Exercises*

6.12.3.1 Practical exercises include sample and standards preparation, instrument calibrations, performance checks and calibration checks.

6.12.3.2 Diagram and describe the components of a μ-XRF instrument.

6.12.3.3 Compare the elemental characteristics of a variety of glass types using μ-XRF.

6.12.3.4 Demonstrate the effects of analysis depth in glass samples.

6.12.3.5 Demonstrate techniques to improve data quality (e.g., sample preparation, instrument parameters).

6.12.3.6 Compare glass samples according to Test Method E2926.

6.12.4 The methods of instruction for this unit are reading by the trainee and lecture from the trainer.

6.12.5 The method of evaluation for this unit is a review of the practical exercises.

6.13 *Laser Ablation-Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry (LA-ICP-MS)*

6.13.1 This section introduces the trainee to the comparison of glass samples based on elemental analysis of major, minor and trace elements by laser ablation - inductively coupled plasma - mass spectrometry (LA-ICP-MS).

6.13.2 Include the following points of instruction:

6.13.2.1 Basic principles of ICP-MS analysis

6.13.2.2 Different mass analyzers

6.13.2.3 Spectral interferences and possibilities to avoid them

6.13.2.4 Non-spectral interferences

6.13.2.5 Basic principles of laser ablation

6.13.2.6 Laser types

6.13.2.7 Elemental fractionation and factors affecting them

6.13.2.8 Common instrumental configuration and parameters for glass

6.13.2.9 Instrument setup and calibrations

6.13.2.10 Quality control check, data evaluation and criteria for comparison of samples

6.13.2.11 General preventive maintenance requirements of the instrument

6.13.2.12 Data processing fundamentals

6.13.3 *Reading Assignments*

6.13.3.1 Test Method E2927.

6.13.3.2 Thomas, "Practical guide to ICP-MS" (88).

6.13.3.3 Longerich, et al., “Laser Ablation Inductively Coupled Mass Spectrometric Transient Signal Data Acquisition and Analyte Concentration Calculation,” pp. 899-904 (89).

6.13.3.4 Latkoczy, et al., “Development and evaluation of a standard method for the quantitative determination of elements in float glass samples by LA-ICP-MS,” pp. 1327-1341 (90).

6.13.3.5 Berends-Montero, et al., “Forensic analysis of float glass using laser ablation inductively coupled mass spectrometry (LA-ICP-MS): validation of a method,” pp. 1185-1193 (91).

6.13.3.6 Weis, et al., “Establishing a match criterion in forensic comparison analysis of float glass using laser ablation inductively coupled mass spectrometry,” pp. 1273-1284 (92).

6.13.3.7 Trejos, et al., “Cross-validation and evaluation of the performance of methods for the elemental analysis of forensic glass by µ-XRF, ICP-MS, and LA-ICP-MS,” pp. 5393-5409 (78).

6.13.3.8 Trejos, et al., “Forensic analysis of glass by µ-XRF, SN-ICP-MS, LA-ICP-MS, and LA-ICP-OES: evaluation of the performance of difference criteria for comparing elemental composition,” pp. 1270-1282 (79).

6.13.3.9 Dorn, et al., “Discrimination of float glass by LA-ICP-MS: assessment of exclusion criteria using casework samples,” pp. 85-96 (93).

6.13.3.10 Corzo, et al., “The use of LA-ICP-MS databases to calculate likelihood ratios for the forensic analysis of glass evidence,” pp 655-661 (94).

6.13.3.11 Hoffman, et al., “An inter-laboratory evaluation of LA-ICP-MS Analysis of Glass and the Use of a Database for the Interpretation of Glass Evidence,” pp. 65-76 (95).

6.13.3.12 van Es, et al., “Implementation and assessment of a likelihood ratio approach for the evaluation of LA-ICP-MS evidence in forensic glass analysis,” pp. 181-192 (96).

6.13.3.13 Becker, et al., “Forensic float glass fragment analysis using single-pulse laser ablation inductively coupled plasma time of flight mass spectrometry,” pp. 2248-2254 (97).

6.13.3.14 Miller and Denton, “The quadrupole mass filter: basic operating concepts,” pp. 617-622 (98).

6.13.3.15 Gray, “Solid sample introduction by laser ablation for inductively coupled plasma source mass spectrometry,” pp. 551-556 (99).

6.13.3.16 Guillong, et al., ”A comparison of 266 nm, 213 nm, and 193 nm produced from a single solid state Nd: YAG laser for laser ablation ICP-MS,” pp. 1224-1230 (100).

6.13.3.17 Gonzalez, et al., “Comparison of 193, 213, and 266 nm laser ablation ICP-MS,” pp. 1108-1113 (101).

6.13.3.18 Horn and Gunther, “The influence of ablation carrier gasses Ar, He, and Ne on the particle size distribution and transport efficiencies of laser ablation-induced aerosols: implications for LA-ICP-MS," pp. 144-157 (102).

6.13.3.19 Sylvester, “Laser-ablation-ICPMS in the Earth Sciences: Principles and Applications,” pp. 35-51, pp. 79-88, pp. 312-314.(103).

6.13.3.20 Houk, et al., “Inductively coupled argon plasma as an ion source for mass spectrometric determination of trace elements,” pp. 2283-2289 (104).

6.13.3.21Miller and Denton, “The quadrupole mass filter: basic operating concepts,” pp. 617-622 (98).

6.13.3.22 Heydon, et al., “Elemental heterogeneity observations in float glass samples via LA-ICP-MS thickness profiling,” pp. 103-107 (105).

6.13.3.23 Manufacturer manuals and tutorials.

6.13.4 *Practical Exercises*

6.13.4.1 Practical exercises include performing a complete analysis of several standard materials (e.g. NIST 612, NIST SRM 614, NIST SRM 1831, Schott/BKA FGS 1) applying ASTM E2927 and your laboratory SOPs, including sample preparation, instrument setup with tuning and calibrations, programming and running the sample sequence, and data evaluation. Compare results with reference values and discuss performance, precision and bias.

6.13.4.2 Practical exercises include sample and standards preparation, performing a calibration, measuring samples with known elemental composition to test method performance, precision and bias. (see 6.13.3.1).

6.13.4.3 Diagram and describe the components of a laser ablation unit.

6.13.4.4 Diagram and describe the components of an ICP-MS instrument.

6.13.4.5 Describe the different options of dealing with spectral interferences.

6.13.4.6 Describe mechanisms and parameters that can influence elemental fractionation.

6.13.4.7 Describe advantages and disadvantages of the different mass analysers.

6.13.4.8 Describe advantages and disadvantages of different laser types (wavelengths, pulse durations, fluence).

6.13.4.9 If possible, take part in a specialized LA-ICP-MS training.

6.13.5 The methods of instruction for this unit are reading by the trainee and lecture from the trainer.

6.13.6 The method of evaluation for this unit is a review of the practical exercises.

6.14 *Other Analytical Techniques*

6.14.1 This section introduces the trainee to additional analytical techniques that can be used but are not currently in frequent use in forensic glass analysis.

6.14.2 If any of these techniques are used for glass analysis in the trainee's laboratory, additional training for that technique shall be conducted as specified by the laboratory's protocols and should be in accordance with the level of training specified for the techniques listed in this document.

6.14.3 Include the following points of instruction:

6.14.3.1 Basic understanding of SEM/EDS and its application to glass analysis

6.14.3.2 Basic understanding of ICP-MS and its application to glass analysis

6.14.3.3 Basic understanding of ICP-OES and its application to glass analysis

6.14.3.4 Basic understanding of LIBS and its application to glass analysis

6.14.3.5 Understanding of how each technique can be used to compare samples based upon their elemental components

6.14.3.6 Strengths and limitations of the techniques for glass analysis

6.14.4 Reading Assignments

6.14.4.1Goldstein, et al., "Scanning Electron Microscopy and X-Ray Microanalysis" (75).

6.14.4.2 Flegler, et al., "Scanning and Transmission Electron Microscopy: An Introduction" (106).

6.14.4.3 Test Method E2330

6.14.4.4 Guide WK72932, Section 15

6.14.4.5 Naes, et al., "A comparison of laser ablation inductively coupled mass spectrometry, micro X-ray fluorescence spectroscopy, and laser induced breakdown spectroscopy for the discrimination of automotive glass," pp. 1145-1150 (80).

6.14.4.6 Sigman, "Application of Laser-Induced Breakdown Spectroscopy to Forensic Science: Analysis of Paint and Glass Samples," pp. 1-43 (107).

6.14.4.7 Gottfried, et al., "Laser-Induced Breakdown Spectroscopy: Capabilities and Applications," pp. 1-13 (108).

6.14.5 *Practical Exercises*

6.14.5.1 Diagram the components of each technique.

6.14.5.2 Describe why each technique is not currently in frequent use in forensic glass analysis.

6.14.6 The methods of instruction for this unit are reading by the trainee and lecture from the trainer.

6.14.7 The method of evaluation for this unit is a review of the practical exercise.

6.15 *Comparison and Interpretation*

6.15.1 This section introduces the trainee to the comparison of a variety of glasses based on their physical and chemical characteristics.

6.15.2 Include the following points of instruction:

6.15.2.1 Assessing the comparison results and attaching significance to those results

6.15.2.2 Discussing the comparative stage, evaluative stage and combined approaches

6.15.2.3 Understanding comparison processes in human judgment

6.15.2.4 Defining and recognizing exclusionary differences

6.15.2.5 Explaining the discrimination power of the analytical protocol used

6.15.2.6 Understanding the use of and the advantages and limitations of databases to assign a significance to evidence

6.15.3 *Reading Assignments*

6.15.3.1 Hoffman, et al., “An inter-laboratory evaluation of LA-ICP-MS Analysis of Glass and the Use of a Database for the Interpretation of Glass Evidence,” pp. 65-76 (95).

6.15.3.2 Guide WK72932, Section 17.

6.15.3.3 Corzo, et al., “The use of LA-ICP-MS databases to calculate likelihood ratios for the forensic analysis of glass evidence,” pp 655-661 (94).

6.15.3.4 Akmeemana, et al., “Interpretation of chemical data from glass analysis for forensic purposes,” pp. 1-14 (109).

6.15.3.5 Gupta, et al., “Dimensionality reduction of multielement glass evidence to calculate likelihood ratios,” pp. 1-16 (110).

6.15.3.6 Spellman, et al., “Challenges to reasoning in forensic science decisions,” Section 3, pp. 10-12 (34).

6.15.4 Practical Exercise

6.15.4.1 Complete comparisons and summarize the completed practical exercise sets utilized in previous instruction.

6.15.5 The methods of instruction for this unit are reading by the trainee and lecture from the trainer.

6.15.6 The method of evaluation for this unit is a review of the practical exercise.

6.16 *Report Writing*

6.16.1 This section introduces the trainee to writing technically and administratively accurate reports for forensic glass examinations.

6.16.2 Include the following points of instruction:

6.16.2.1 Recognizing and addressing cognitive biases and related human factors issues

6.16.2.2 Ethical considerations

6.16.2.3 Truthfulness, candor, objectivity

6.16.2.4 Recognizing the current state of report writing guidelines in the discipline

6.16.3 *Reading Assignments*

6.16.3.1 Laboratory specific procedure(s) on reporting applicable to glass analyses.

6.16.3.2 OSAC 2022-S-0029, *Standard Guide for Interpretation and Reporting in Forensic Comparison of Trace Materials*.

6.16.3.3 ISO/IEC 17025:2017 and Accreditation Requirements (AR) 3125 - Sections that refer to report writing.

6.16.3.4 Practice E620.

6.16.3.5 Dror, “Cognitive and Human Factors in Expert Decision Making: Six Fallacies and the Eight Sources of Bias,” pp. 7998-8004 (15).

6.16.3.6 National Commission on Forensic Science, “Ensuring that forensic analysis is based upon task-relevant information,” pp. 1-9 (111).

6.16.3.7 National Commission on Forensic Science, “Views of the Commission, Documentation, Case Record and Report Contents,” pp. 1-4 (112).

6.16.3.8 National Commission on Forensic Science, “Recommendation to the Attorney General National Code of Professional Responsibility for Forensic Science and Forensic Medicine Service Providers,” pp. 1-4 (113).

6.16.4 *Practical Exercise*

6.16.4.1 Write reports for the previously completed practical exercises using the range of opinions that may be reached during glass examinations.

6.16.5 The methods of instruction for this unit are reading completed technically reviewed reports and lectures from the trainer.

6.16.6 The method of evaluation for this unit is a review of the reports written by the trainee.

6.17 *Testimony*

6.17.1 This section introduces the trainee to testimony in forensic glass analysis.

6.17.2 Include the following points of instruction:

6.17.2.1 Role of an expert witness

6.17.2.2 Recognizing and addressing cognitive biases and related human factors issues

6.17.2.3 Ethical considerations

6.17.2.4 Testimony should be accurate, clear, transparent and truthful

6.17.2.5 Expressing interpretations, opinions, and results of technical material to the trier-of-fact

6.17.2.6 Testimony should include any limitations of the analyses and conclusions.

6.17.2.7 Awareness of the court rules requiring disclosure by the defense and prosecution of forensics-related test results, expert qualification, and summaries of testimony

6.17.3 *Reading Assignments*

6.17.3.1 Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals (92-102), 509 U.S. 579 (1993).

6.17.3.2 Frye v. United States 293 F. 1013 (D.C. Cir. 1923).

6.17.3.3 Kumho Tire Co. v. Carmichael 526 US 137 (1999).

6.17.3.4 Melendez-Diaz v. Massachusetts 557 US 305 (2009).

6.17.3.5 Bullcoming v. New Mexico 564 US 647 (2011).

6.17.3.6 Dror, “The ambition to be scientific: Human expert performance and objectivity,” pp. 81-82 (114).

6.17.3.7 Bowen, “Ethics and the Practice of Forensic Science” (115).

6.17.3.8 National Commission on Forensic Science, “Recommendation to the Attorney General National Code of Professional Responsibility for Forensic Science and Forensic Medicine Service Providers,” pp. 1-4 (113).

6.17.3.9 Kunkler, K.S., Roy, T., “Reducing the impact of cognitive bias in decision making: Practical actions for forensic science practitioners,” *Forensic Science International: Synergy*, Vol 7, June 2023, pp. 1-8 (116).

6.17.4 Practical Exercises

6.17.4.1 Prepare a list of suggested qualifying and predicate questions and answers for testimony.

6.17.4.2 Review relevant materials for an admissibility hearing.

6.17.5 The methods of instruction for this unit are lectures from the trainer and viewing court testimony (if possible).

6.17.6 The method of evaluation for this unit is a review of the court documents prepared by the trainee.

6.18 *Final Training Evaluations*

6.18.1 This section evaluates the knowledge, skills, and abilities of the trainee through the following methods:

6.18.1.1 Completing a final, comprehensive, written or oral examination on forensic glass examinations as a means of determining comprehension of the material and to document the training

6.18.1.2 Conducting mock cases of varying difficulty for competency evaluation to include note taking, case documentation, and report writing

6.18.1.3 Participating in a mock trial using one of the mock cases completed during training. If the trainee has previous mock trial or court experience, an oral review may replace the mock trial

6.18.2 The method of evaluation for this unit is a passing grade on the written examination, successful completion of the competency evaluation, and successful completion of the mock trial or oral review.

6.19 *Supervised Casework and Peer Reviews*

6.19.1 This section introduces the trainee to performing independent casework as well as technical and administrative peer reviews.

6.19.2 Practical Exercise

6.19.2.1 Observe an experienced glass practitioner perform casework.

6.19.2.2 Perform actual casework under the supervision of a qualified glass practitioner before performing independent casework.

6.19.2.3 Complete mock technical and administrative review exercises.

6.19.3 The methods of instruction for this unit are: demonstration by the trainer and discussion with the trainee.

6.19.4 The methods of evaluation for this unit are evaluation of the casework with no technical errors and minimal administrative errors and evaluation of the peer reviews completed by the trainee.

7 Keywords

7.1 forensic science; training; materials; glass; glass analysis

REFERENCES

(1) De Forest, P., "What is Trace Evidence?," Caddy, B., ed., *Forensic Examination of Glass and Paint: Analysis and Interpretation*, Taylor & Francis, New York, NY, 2001, pp. 17-19.

(2) Curran, J.M., Hicks, T.N., and Buckleton, J.S., eds., *Forensic Interpretation of Glass Evidence*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2000, pp. 1-178.

(3) Koons, R.D., Buscaglia, J., Bottrell, M., and Miller, E.T., "Forensic glass comparisons," Saferstein, R., ed., *Forensic Science Handbook*, Vol. I, 2nd ed., Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ, 2002, pp. 161-213.

(4) Curran, J., Hicks, T., and Trejos, T., "Interpretation of Glass Evidence," Desiderio, V., Taylor, C., and Daéid, N., eds., *Handbook of Trace Evidence Analysis,* John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, NJ, 2021, pp. 377-420.

(5) Almirall, J., and Trejos, T., "Analysis of Glass Evidence," Forensic Chemistry: Fundamentals and Applications, Willey Blackwell, John Wiley and Sons, Hoboken, NJ, 2016, pp. 228-272.

(6) Trejos, T., Koch, S., and Mehltretter, A., "Scientific Foundations and Current State of Trace Evidence—A Review," *Journal of Forensic Chemistry*, Vol 18, May 2020, pp. 1-22.

(7) Bottrell, M., "Forensic Glass Comparison: Background Information Used in Data Interpretation," *Forensic Science Communications*, Vol 11, No. 2, 2009, pp. 1-21.

(8) Pfaender, H.G., *Schott Guide to Glass*, 2nd ed., Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, 2012, pp. 1-198.

(9) Charnock, H. "The float glass process," *Physics Bulletin*, Vol 21, No. 4, April 1970, pp. 153–156.

(10) Seyfang, K.E., Redman, K.E., Popelka-Filcoff, R.S., and Kirkbride, K.P., "Glass fragments from portable electronic devices: Implications for forensic examinations," *Forensic Science International*, Vol 257, December 2015, pp. 442-452.

(11) The National Glass Association & Glass Magazine, "The World of Glass," 2018, https://www.worldglassmap.com (accessed 10 May 2024).

(12) Copley, G.J., "The composition and manufacture of glass and its domestic and industrial applications," Caddy, B., ed., *Forensic Examination of Glass and Paint: Analysis and Interpretation*, Taylor & Francis, New York, NY, 2001, pp. 27-46.

(13) Gläser, H.J., "Low-emissive coatings on the outer surface of heat insulating glasses - a challenge to the flat glass industry," *Glass Science and Technology,* 75, pp. 12-19.

(14) Scientific Working Group for Materials Analysis (SWGMAT), "Trace Evidence Recovery Guidelines," http://web.archive.org/web/20220309214827/https://www.asteetrace.org/static/images/pdf/04%20Trace%20Evidence%20Recovery%20Guidelines%20%28Published%20in%20Forensic%20Science%20Communications%2C%20October%201999%29.pdf (accessed 10 May 2024), pp. 1-7.

(15) Dror, I., "Cognitive and Human Factors in Expert Decision Making: Six Fallacies and the Eight Sources of Bias," *Analytical Chemistry*, Vol. 92, June 2020, pp. 7998-8004.

(16) Palenik, S., "Microscopy and Microchemistry of Physical Evidence," Saferstein, R., ed., *Forensic Science Handbook*, Vol II, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1988, pp. 164-171.

(17) Pearson, E.F., May, R.W., and Dabbs, M.D., "Glass and Paint Fragments Found in Men's Outer Clothing – Report of a Survey," *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, Vol 16, No. 3, July 1971, pp. 283–300.

(18) Scientific Working Group for Materials Analysis (SWGMAT), "Trace Evidence Quality Assurance Guidelines, "https://web.archive.org/web/20220309215631/https://www.asteetrace.org/static/images/pdf/01%20Trace%20Evidence%20Quality%20Assurance%20Guidelines%20(Published%20in%20Forensic%20Science%20Communications%2C%20January%202000).pdf (accessed 10 May 2024), pp. 1-17.

(19) Buzzini P, and Yu J.C-C., "General Principles and Techniques of Trace Evidence Collection," Mozayani A, Parish-Fisher C., eds., *Forensic Evidence Management*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2018, pp. 75-97.

(20) Allen, T.J., and Scranage, J.K., "The transfer of glass - part 1 - Transfer of glass to individuals at different distances," *Forensic Science International*, Vol 93, May 1998, pp. 167-174.

(21) Allen, T.J., Hoefler, K., and Rose, S.J., "The transfer of glass - part 2 - A study of the transfer of glass to a person by various methods," *Forensic Science International*, Vol 93, May 1998, pp. 175-193.

(22) Allen, T. J., Hoefler, K., and Rose, S., "The transfer of glass - part 3 - the transfer of glass from a contaminated person to another uncontaminated person during a ride in a car," *Forensic Science International*, Vol 93, May 1998, pp. 195-200.

(23) Allen, T.J., Cox, A.R., Barton, S., Messam, P., and Lambert, J.A., "The transfer of glass - part 4 - the transfer of glass fragments from the surface of an item to the person carrying it," *Forensic Science International*, Vol 93, May 1998, pp. 201-208.

(24) Curran, J.M., Triggs, C.M., Buckleton, J.S., Walsh, K.J., and Hicks, T. "Assessing transfer probabilities in a Bayesian interpretation of forensic glass evidence," *Science & Justice,* Vol 38, January 1998, pp. 15-21.

(25) Harrison, P.H., Lambert, J.A., and Zoro, J.A., "A survey of glass fragments recovered from clothing of persons suspected of involvement in crime," *Forensic Science International*, Vol 27, March 1985, pp. 171-187.

(26) Lambert, J.A., Satterthwaite, M.J., and Harrison, P.H., "A survey of glass fragments recovered from clothing of persons suspected of involvement in crime," *Science & Justice*, Vol 35, January 1995, pp. 273-281.

(27) Lau, L., Beveridge, A.D., Callowhill, B.C., Conners, N., Foster, K., Groves, R.J., Ohashi, K.N., Sumner, A.M., and Wong, H., "The frequency of occurrence of paint and glass on the clothing of high school students," *Canadian Society of Forensic Science Journal*, Vol 30, Issue 4, 1997, pp. 233-240.

(28) Locke, J., and Unikowski, J., "Breaking of flat glass - Part 1: Size and distribution of particles from plain glass windows," *Forensic Science International*, Vol 51, October 1991, pp. 251-262.

(29) Locke, J., and Unikowski, J., "Breaking of flat glass - Part 2: Effect of pane parameters on particle distribution," *Forensic Science International*, Vol 56, September 1992, pp. 95-106.

(30) Locke, J., and Scrange, J.K., "Breaking of flat glass - Part 3: Surface particles from windows and windscreens," *Forensic Science International*, Vol 57, November 1992, pp. 73-80.

(31) Allen, T.J., Locke, J., and Scranage, J.K., "Breaking of flat glass - Part 4: Size and distribution of fragments from vehicle windscreens," *Forensic Science International*, Vol 93, May 1998, pp 209-218.

(32) Petterd, C.I., Hamshere, J., Stewart, S., Brinch, K., Masi, T., and Roux, C., "Glass particles in the clothing of members of the public in south-eastern Australia - a survey," *Forensic Science International*, Vol 103, September 1999, pp. 193-198.

(33) Roux, C., Kirk, R., Benson, S., Van Haren, T., and Petterd, C.I., "Glass particles in footwear of members of the public in south-eastern Australia - a survey," *Forensic Science International*, Vol 116, 2001, pp. 149-156.

(34) Alexander, L.C., Ovide, O, Duffett, O.C.,Lewis, A.D., Buzzini, P., Curran, J. and Trejos, T., "The random presence of glass and paint on the clothing and footwear of members of the general population: A US baseline survey at various seasons," *Journal of Forensic Sciences,* Vol. 68, May 2023, pp. 790-806.

(35) Spellman, B.A., Eldridge, H., Bieber, P.., "Challenges to reasoning in forensic science decisions," *Forensic Science International: Synergy,* Vol. 4, December 2022, pp. 1-16.

(36) Quinn, G.D., "Fractography of Ceramics and Glasses," *NIST Special Publication*, 960-16e3, September 2020, https://web.archive.org/web/20220309233752/https://nvlpubs.nist.gov/nistpubs/specialpublications/NIST.SP.960-16e3.pdf (accessed 10 May 2024).

(37) Thorton, "Interpretation of physical aspects of glass evidence," Caddy, B., ed., *Forensic Examination of Glass and Paint: Analysis and Interpretation*, Taylor & Francis, New York, NY, 2001, pp. 97-119.

(38) Thornton, J., and Cashman, "Glass Fracture Mechanism – A Rethinking," *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, Vol 31, No. 3, July 1986, pp. 818-824.

(39) Welch, A., Rickard, R., and Underhill, M., "The observation of banding in glass fragments and its forensic significance," *Journal of the Forensic Science Society,* Vol. 29, January 1989, pp. 5-13.

(40) Lentini, J.J., "Behavior of Glass at Elevated Temperatures," *Journal of Forensic Sciences,* Vol. 37, September 1992, pp. 1358-1362.

(41) Michalshke, T.A., and Bunker, B.C., "The Fracturing of Glass", *Scientific American,* Vol. 257, December 1987, pp. 122-129.

(42) Katterwe, H., "Fracture Matching and Repetitive Experiments: A Contribution of Validation," *Association of Firearm and Toolmark Examiners Journal,* Vol. 37, 2005, 229-241.

(43) Baca, A.C., Thornton, J.I., Tulleners, F., "Determination of Fracture Patterns in Glass and Glassy Polymers", *Journal of Forensic Sciences,* Vol. 61, 2016, pp. S92-S101.

(44) Delly, J., McCrone, L., and McCrone, W., *Polarized Light Microscopy*, Fifth Printing, Microscope Publications, Division of McCrone Research Institute, Chicago, IL, 1997, pp 1-64, 125-188.

(45) DeForest, P., "Foundations of Forensic Microscopy," Saferstein, R., ed., *Forensic Science Handbook*, Vol 1, 2nd ed., Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 2002, pp. 216–319.

(46) Hamer, P. S. "Microscopic techniques for glass examination," Caddy, B., ed., *Forensic Examination of Glass and Paint: Analysis and Interpretation*, Taylor & Francis, New York, NY, 2001, pp. 47-64.

(47) Elliott, B.R., Goodwin, D.G., Hamer, P.S., Hayes, P.M., Underhill, M., Locke, J., "The Microscopic Examination of Glass Surfaces," *Journal of the Forensic Science Society,* Vol. 25, November 1985, pp. 459-471.

(48) Locke, J., "New Developments in the Forensic Examination of Glass," *The Microscope,* Vol. 32, 1984, pp. 1-11.

(49) Danielzik, B., Heming, M., Krause, D., and Thelen, A., "Overview - Thin Films on Glass: an Established Technology," Bach, H., Krause, D., ed., *Thin Films on Glass*, Springer, Berlin, 2003, pp 1-7.

(50) Miller, J.N., and Miller, J.C., *Statistics and Chemometrics for Analytical Chemistry*, 6th ed., Pearson Education Limited, Harlow, Essex, England, 2010, pp. 1-272.

(51) Zadora, G., Martyna, A., Ramos, D., and Aitken, C., *Statistical Analysis in Forensic Science: Evidential Value of Multivariate Physicochemical Data*, John Wiley & Sons Inc, Chichester, West Sussex, United Kingdom, 2014, pp. 1-314.

(52) Evett, I.W., "Bayesian Inference and Forensic Science: Problems and Perspectives," *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society,* Vol 36., 1987, pp. 99-105.

(53) American Statistical Association (ASA), "American Statistical Association Position on Statistical Statements for Forensic Evidence," "https://web.archive.org/web/20230521214537/https://www.amstat.org/asa/files/pdfs/POL-ForensicScience.pdf" (accessed 10 May 2024), pp. 1-5.

(54) Dabbs, M.D.G., and Pearson, E.F., "The Variation in Refractive Index and Density Across Two Sheets of Window Glass," *Journal of the Forensic Science Society,* Vol. 10, July 1970, pp.139-148.

(55) Locke, J., and Hayes, C.A., "Refractive index variations across glass objects and the influence of annealing," *Forensic Science International,* Vol. 26, October 1984, pp. 147-157.

(56) Zoro, J.A., Locke, J., Day, R.S., Badmus, O., and Perryman, A.C., "An investigation of refractive index anomalies at the surface of glass objects and windows," *Forensic Science International,* Vol. 39, November 1988, pp. 127-141.

(57) Bennett, R.L., Kim, N.D., Curran, J.M., Coulson, S.A., and Newton, A.W., "Spatial variation of refractive index in a pane of float glass," *Science & Justice,* Vol. 43, June 2003, pp. 71-76.

(58) Munger, C., Gates, K.M., and Hamburg, C., "Determining the refractive index variation within panes of vehicular windshield glass," *Journal of Forensic Sciences,* Vol. 59, September 2014, pp. 1351-1357.

(59) Cassista, A.R., and Sandercock, P.M.L., "Precision of Glass Refractive Index Measurements: Temperature Variation and Double Variation Methods, and the Value of Dispersion," *Canadian Society of Forensic Science Journal,* Vol. 27, 1994, pp. 203-208.

(60) Davies, M.M., Dudley, R.J., and Smalldon, K.W., "An investigation of bulk and surface refractive indices for flat window glasses, patterned window glasses and windscreen glasses," *Forensic Science International,* Vol. 16, October 1980, pp. 125-137.

(61) Koons, R.D., and Buscaglia, J., "Distribution of Refractive Index Values in Sheet Glasses," *Forensic Science Communications,* Vol. 3, January 2001, pp. 1-3.

(62) Koons, R.D., and Buscaglia, J., "Forensic Significance of Glass Composition and Refractive Index Measurements," *Journal of Forensic Sciences,* Vol. 44, May 1999, pp. 496-503.

(63) Locke, J., "GRIM: A semi-automatic device for measuring the refractive index of glass particles," *Microscope,* Vol. 33, 1985, pp 169-178.

(64) Locke, J., and Underhill, M., "Automatic refractive index measurements of glass particles," Vol. 27, 1985, pp. 247-260.

(65) Underhill, M., "Multiple refractive index in float glass," *Journal of the Forensic Science Society,* Vol. 20, July 1980, pp. 169-176.

(66) Sandercock, J.M.L., "Sample Size Considerations for Control Glass in Casework," *Canadian Society of Forensic Science,* Vol. 33, December 2000, pp. 173-185.

(67) Garvin, E., and Koons, R.D., "Evaluation of match criteria used for the comparison of refractive index of glass fragments," *Journal of Forensic Sciences,* Vol. 56, January 2011, pp. 491-500.

(68) Alamilla, F., Calcerrada M., Garcia-Ruiz, C., and Torre, M., "Validation of an analytical method for the refractive index measurement of glass fragments. Application to a hit-and-run incident," *Analytical Methods,* Vol. 5, February 2013, pp. 1178-1184.

(69) Locke, J., Sanger, D.G., and Roopnarine, G., "The identification of toughened glass by annealing," *Forensic Science International,* Vol. 20, December 1982, pp. 295-301.

(70) Locke, J., Hayes, C.A., and Sanger, D.G., "The design of equipment and thermal routines for annealing glass particles," *Forensic Science International,* Vol. 26, October 1984, pp. 139-146.

(71) Locke, J., and Rockett, L.A., "The application of annealing to improve the discrimination between glasses," *Forensic Science International,* Vol. 29, December 1985, pp. 237-245.

(72) Locke, J., Winstanley, R., Rockett, L.A., and Rydeard, C., "A comparison of long and short schedules for the annealing of glass particles," *Forensic Science International,* Vol. 29, December 1985, pp. 247-258.

(73) Newton, A.W.N., and Buckleton, J.S., "An investigation into the relationship between edge counts and the variability of the refractive index of glass. Part I: Edge morphology," *Forensic Science International,* Vol. 177, May 2008, pp. 24-31.

(74) Marcouiller, J.M., "A revised glass annealing method to distinguish glass types," *Journal of Forensic Sciences,* Vol. 35, May 1990, pp. 554-559.

(75) Goldstein, J.L., Newbury, D.E., Michael, J.R., Ritchie, N.W.M., Scott, J.H.J., and Joy, D.C., *Scanning Electron Microscopy and X-Ray Microanalysis*, 4th ed., Springer Science, New York, NY, 2018, pp. 1-573.

(76) Brouwer, P., *Theory of XRF,* PANalytical B.V., Almelo, Netherlands, 2003, pp. 1-57.

(77) Buscaglia, J. "Elemental analysis of small glass fragments in forensic science," *Analytica Chimica Acta,* Vol. 288, March 1994, pp. 17-24.

(78) Trejos, T., Koons, R., Becker, S., Berman, T., Buscaglia, J., Duecking, M., Eckert-Lumsdon, T., Ernst, T., Hanlon, C., Heydon, A., Mooney, K., Nelson, R., Olsson, K., Palenik, C., Pollock, E.C., Rudell, D., Ryland, S., Tarifa, A., Valadez, M., Weis, P., and Almirall, J., "Cross-validation and evaluation of the performance of methods for the elemental analysis of forensic glass by μ-XRF, ICP-MS, and LA-ICP-MS," *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry,* Vol. 405, May 2013, pp. 5393-5409.

(79) Trejos, T., Koons, R., Weis, P., Becker, S., Berman, T., Dalpe, C., Duecking, M., Buscaglia, J., Eckert-Lumsdon, T., Ernst, T., Hanlon, C., Heydon, A., Mooney, K., Nelson, R., Olsson, K.,

Schenk, E., Palenik, C., Pollock, E.C., Rudell, D., Ryland, S., Tarifa, A., Valadez, M., van Es, A., Zdanowicz, V., and Almirall, J., "Forensic analysis of glass by µ-XRF, SN-ICP-MS, LA-ICP-MS, and LA-ICP-OES: evaluation of the performance of difference criteria for comparing elemental composition," *Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectroscopy,* Vol. 28, June 2013, pp. 1270-1282.

(80) Naes, B.E., Umpierrez, S., Ryland, S., Barnett, C., and Almirall, J.R., "A comparison of laser ablation inductively coupled mass spectrometry, micro X-ray fluorescence spectroscopy, and laser induced breakdown spectroscopy for the discrimination of automotive glass," *Spectrochimica Acta Part B: atomic Spectroscopy,* Vol. 63*,* October 2008, pp. 1145-1150.

(81) Ryland, S., "Discrimination of Flat (Sheet) Glass Specimens Having Similar Refractive Indices Using Micro X-Ray Fluorescence Spectrometry," *Journal of the American Society of Trace Evidence Examiners,* Vol. 2, December 2011, pp. 2-12.

(82) Ernst, T., Berman, T., Buscaglia, J., Eckert-Lumsdon, T., Hanlon, C., Olsson, K., Palenik, C., Ryland, S., Trejos, T., Valadez, M., and Almirall, J.R., "Signal-to-noise ratios in forensic glass analysis by micro X-Ray fluorescence spectrometry," *X-Ray Spectrometry,* Vol. 43, December 2012, pp. 13-21.

(83) Corzo, R., Steel, E., "Improving signal-to-noise ratio for the forensic analysis of glass using micro X-Ray fluorescence spectrometry," *X-Ray Spectrometry,* Vol. 49, August 2020, pp. 679-689.

(84) Corzo, R., Hoffman, T., Ernst, T., Trejos, T., Berman, T., Coulson, S., Weis, P., Stryjnik, A., Dorn, H., Pollock, E.C., Workman, M.S., Jones, P., Nytes, B., Scholz, T., Xie, H., Igowsky, K., Nelson, R., Gates, K., Gonzalez, J., Voss, L., and Almirall, J., "An interlaboratory study evaluating the interpretation of forensic glass evidence using refractive index measurements and elemental composition," *Forensic Chemistry,* Vol. 22, March 2021, 100307, pp. 1-10.

(85) Buhrke, V.E., Jenkins, R., and Smith, D., eds., *A Practical Guide for the Preparation of Specimens for X-ray Fluorescence and X-ray Diffraction Analysis*, John Wiley and Sons, New York, NY, 1998, pp. 1-312.

(86) Ernst, T., Gates, K., Gregory, C., and Hamburg, C., "Forensic Examination of Ceramic Frit on Automotive Glass," *Journal of the American Society of Trace Evidence Examiners,* Vol. 10, December 2020, pp. 21-44.

(87) Ovide, O., Corzo, R., Trejos, T., "Assessment of Performance Rates on the Elemental Comparison of Small and Irregular glass fragments using micro-XRF and LIBS," Forensic Chemistry, Vol 38; May 2024, 100567, pp. 1-17

(88) Thomas, R., *Practical guide to ICP-MS*, 3rd ed., CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2013, pp. 1-392.

(89) Longerich, H.P., Jackson, S.E., and Gunther, D. "Laser Ablation Inductively Coupled Mass Spectrometric Transient Signal Data Acquisition and Analyte Concentration Calculation," *Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry,* Vol. 11, September 1996, pp. 899-904.

(90) Latkoczy, C., Becker, S., Ducking, M., Gunther, D., Hoogewerff, J., Almirall, J., Buscaglia, J., Dobney, A., Koons, R.D., Montero, S., van der Peijl, G.J.Q., Stoecklein, W.R.S., Trejos, T., Watling, J.R., and Zdanowicz, V.S., "Development and evaluation of a standard method for the quantitative determination of elements in float glass samples by LA-ICP-MS," *Journal of Forensic Sciences,* Vol. 50, November 2005, pp. 1327-1341.

(91) Berends-Montero, S., Wiarda, W., de Joode, P., and van der Peijl, G., "Forensic analysis of float glass using laser ablation inductively coupled mass spectrometry (LA-ICP-MS): validation of a method," *Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry,* Vol. 21, September 2006, pp. 1185-1193.

(92) Weis, P., Ducking, M., Watzke, P., Menges, S., and Becker, S., "Establishing a match criterion in forensic comparison analysis of float glass using laser ablation inductively coupled mass spectrometry," *Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry,* Vol. 26, June 2011, pp. 1273-1284.

(93) Dorn, H., Ruddell, D.E., Heydon, A., and Burton, B.D. "Discrimination of float glass by LA-ICP-MS: assessment of exclusion criteria using casework samples," *Canadian Society of Forensic Science Journal,* Vol. 48, March 2015, pp. 85-96.

(94) Corzo, R., Hoffman, T., Weis, P., Franco-Pedroso, J., Ramos, D., and Almirall, J., "The use of LA-ICP-MS databases to calculate likelihood ratios for the forensic analysis of glass evidence," *Talanta,* Vol. 186, August 2018, pp. 655-661.

(95) Hoffman, T., Corzo, R., Weis, P., Pollock, E., van Es, A., Wiarda, W., Stryjnik, A., Dorn, H., Heydon, A., Hoise, E., Le Franc, S., Huifang, X., Pena, B., Scholz, T., and Gonalez, J., "An inter-laboratory evaluation of LA-ICP-MS Analysis of Glass and the Use of a Database for the Interpretation of Glass Evidence," *Forensic Chemistry,* Vol. 11, December 2018, pp. 65-76.

(96) van Es, A., Wiarda, W., Hordijk, M., Alberink, I., and Vergeer, P., "Implementation and assessment of a likelihood ratio approach for the evaluation of LA-ICP-MS evidence in forensic glass analysis," *Science & Justice,* Vol. 57, May 2017, pp. 181-192.

(97) Becker, P., Neff, C., Hess, S., Weis, P., and Gunther, D., "Forensic float glass fragment analysis using single-pulse laser ablation inductively coupled plasma time of flight mass spectrometry," *Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry,* Vol. 10, July 2020, pp. 2248-2254.

(98) Miller, P.E., and Denton, M.B., "The quadrupole mass filter: basic operating concepts," *Journal of Chemical Education,* Vol. 63, July 1986, pp. 617-622.

(99) Gray, A.L., "Solid sample introduction by laser ablation for inductively coupled plasma source mass spectrometry," *Analyst,* Vol. 110, pp. 551-556.

(100) Guillong, M., Horn, I., and Gunther, D., "A comparison of 266 nm, 213 nm, and 193 nm produced from a single solid state Nd: YAG laser for laser ablation ICP-MS," *Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectroscopy,* Vol. 10, July 2003, pp. 1224-1230.

(101) Gonzalez, J., Mao, X.L., Roy, J., Mao, S.S., and Russo, R.E., "Comparison of 193, 213, and 266 nm laser ablation ICP-MS," *Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectroscopy,* Vol. 9, July 2002, pp. 1108-1113.

(102) Horn, I., and Gunther, D., "The influence of ablation carrier gasses Ar, He, and Ne on the particle size distribution and transport efficiencies of laser ablation-induced aerosols: implications for LA-ICP-MS," *Applied Surface Science,* Vol. 207, February 2003, pp. 144-157.

(103) Sylvester, P.J., ed., "Laser-ablation-ICPMS in the Earth Sciences: Principles and Applications," *Mineralogical Association of Canada*, Short Course Series, Vol. 40, 2008, pp. 1-314.

(104) Houk, R.S., Fassel, V.A., Flesch, G.D., Svec, H.J., Gray, A.L., and Taylor, C.E., "Inductively coupled argon plasma as an ion source for mass spectrometric determination of trace elements," *Analytical Chemistry,* Vol. 52, December 1980, pp. 2283-2289.

(105) Heydon, A., Ruddell, D., Wolf, A., and Dorn, H., "Elemental heterogeneity observations in float glass samples via LA-ICP-MS thickness profiling," *Forensic Chemistry,* Vol. 11, December 2018, pp. 103-107.

(106) Flegler, S., Heckman Jr., J., and Klomparens, K., *Scanning and Transmission Electron Microscopy: An Introduction*, Revised ed., Oxford University Press, New York, NY,1993, pp. 1-225.

(107) Sigman, M.E., "Application of Laser-induced Breakdown Spectroscopy to Forensic Science: Analysis of Paint and Glass Samples," *U.S. Department of Justice*, Document No. 232135, October 2010, pp. 1-43.

(108) Gottfried, J.L., and De Lucia Jr., F. C., "Laser-Induced Breakdown Spectroscopy: Capabilities and Applications," *Army Research Laboratory*, ARL-TR-5238, July 2010, pp. 1-13.

(109) Akmeemana, A., Weis, P., Corzo, R., Ramos, D., Zoon, P., Trejos, T., Ernst, T., Pollock, C., Bakowska, E., Neumann, C., and Almirall, J., "Interpretation of chemical data from glass analysis for forensic purposes,", *Journal of Chemometrics,* Vol. 35:e3267, January 2021, pp. 1-14.

(110) Gupta, A., Corzo, R., Akmeemana, A., Lambert, K., Jimenez, K., Curran, J.M., and Almirall, J.R., "Dimensionality reduction of multielement glass evidence to calculate likelihood ratios," *Journal of Chemometrics,* Vol. 35:e3298, January 2021, pp. 1-16.

(111) National Commission on Forensic Science, "Ensuring that forensic analysis is based upon task-relevant information," "https://web.archive.org/web/20230323133633/https://www.justice.gov/archives/ncfs/page/file/641676/download" Human Factors Subcommittee, December 2015, (accessed 10 May 2024 pp. 1-9.

(112) National Commission on Forensic Science, "Views of the Commission, Documentation, Case Record and Report Contents," "https://web.archive.org/web/20230422154524/https://www.justice.gov/archives/ncfs/file/818191/download" Reporting and Testimony Subcommittee, December 2015, (accessed 10 May 2024), pp. 1-4.

(113) National Commission on Forensic Science, "Recommendation to the Attorney General National Code of Professional Responsibility for Forensic Science and Forensic Medicine Service Providers," "https://web.archive.org/web/20230105151544/https://www.justice.gov/archives/ncfs/page/file/839711/download" Interim Solutions Subcommittee, March 2016, (accessed 10 May 2024), pp. 1-4.

(114) Dror, I., "The ambition to be scientific: Human expert performance and objectivity," Science & Justice, Vol. 53, June 2013, pp. 81-82.

(115) Bowen, R.T., *Ethics and the Practice of Forensic Science*, 2nd ed., CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2000, pp. 1-272.

(116) Kunkler, K.S., Roy, T., "Reducing the impact of cognitive bias in decision making: Practical actions for forensic science practitioners," *Forensic Science International: Synergy*, Vol 7, June 2023, pp. 1-8.