

Adoption Metrics for Proximity Technologies

A presentation to NIST Workshop entitled:

Challenges for Digital Proximity Detection in Pandemics: Privacy, Accuracy, and Impact

(Primarily responsive to “commercialization” and “test beds” prompt)

January 26, 2021

By

Scott L. David

Director of Information Risk Research Initiative (IRRI)

University of Washington – Applied Physics Laboratory

A 3D rendering of a puzzle with one red piece standing out among many white pieces. The red piece is in the center, and the white pieces are arranged around it, some of which are missing, creating a sense of a puzzle being solved or a missing piece. The lighting is soft, creating shadows and highlights on the pieces.

The Need:

We need **metrics** to help promote and steer **adoption** of **Exponential, Socio-Technical Systems (ESTS)**
(such as proximity technologies)

Exponential Socio-Technical Systems (defined)

- Proximity Systems ARE Exponential Systems (ES)
 - **Power** from higher-order effects of Moore's Law (exponential growth)
 - **Value** depends on achieving "network effects" in deployment
- **Proximity Systems ARE Exponential Socio-Technical Systems – (ESTS)**
 - ESTS have all characteristics of ES
 - Plus
 - **Include humans/institutions as users AND as "calibrated system elements"**
 - Humans/institutions supply input data flows (for training/calibration, for processing)
 - Humans/institutions administer/animate nodes in system (formally and informally)
- **ALL networked information technologies are ESTS**



Our Approach – Develop Adoption Metrics for ESTS

- IRRI programs and materials support **distributed testbeds** (testing “ecosystems”)
- Test “Technically Feasible” systems for “BOLTS reasonableness”
- **BOLTS** Metrics are drawn from domains of:
 - **B**usiness
 - **O**perating
 - **L**egal
 - **T**echnical
 - **S**ocial
- Failure of any one of the BOLTS performance criteria potentially vetoes adoption. Period.
- “**BOLTS Engineering**” brings together **requirements for adopted technology**
 - Collect and curate B-O-L-T-S patterns of practice directly from stakeholders
 - Present additional and alternative B-O-L-T-S practices for stakeholder consideration
 - Curate multi-stakeholder process of solution discovery among BOLTS practices
 - enables compensating controls within and across BOLTS categories
- **Commercialization** depends on presence of BOLTS risk metrics to evaluate adoption pathways and market potential.
 - Insufficient BOLTS risk evidence blocks adoption, including commercial adoption



Benefits of “BOLTS” Engineering Metrics Testing Ecosystem

- **Better Design and Development:**
 - Feedback to technical design and development teams saves time and cost
- **Better Performance:**
 - Real-world performance stress test tech before deployment
- **Socio-Technical Interoperability:**
 - Capture BOLTS (aka “policy”) considerations as engineering requirements
- **Scale:**
 - Patterns and Practices approach incorporates BOLTS elements “localized” for stakeholders
 - Isolated adoption/commercialization pathways are brought into contact to solve stubborn problems
- **High Resilience:**
 - Anti-fragile because of cross-BOLTS interdependencies
- **Sustainability:**
 - Built on stakeholder self interest to “de-risk” in ways that each cannot do alone

Align current
isolated pathways
from tech lab to
adoption/markets

- **IYBITWC** (“if you build it, they will come”)
 - Too naive
- **Academic Tech-Transfer**
 - Patent focused, Theoretical
- **Corporate market research**
 - Product driven, Competition driven
- **Government Sponsored Research**
 - National agenda focused, Too jurisdictionally bound for global legal/social interoperability
- **Entrepreneur Startups**
 - Too under-resourced
- **Trade associations**
 - Too insular, Too operations-focused
- **Statutory pathways**
 - Anachronistic/lagging tech, IP focused

Applications of BOLTS to Exponential Socio-Technical Systems

- **Technical Standards Setting Generally**
 - “Necessary Claims” language references “technically feasible” vs. “commercially reasonable”
- **Identity Systems**
 - Trust Frameworks (NIST, GSA, NISTIC, OIX, OpenID, PCI-DSS)
- **Financial Markets**
 - Integrated BOLTS Risk Models (IIF, SWIFT, WEF)
- **Food System Security**
 - Information Network Risk Maps (KSS, DARPA, NASA, Columbia University)
- **Data Security and Data Ethics**
 - Standards/Policy Framings/Curriculum (Accenture, Atlantic Council, WEF, NSA, IEEE)
- **Data Sharing Standards**
 - DSA Questionnaire Tool (UN SDSN, WEF, NYU Gov Lab)
- **Contact Tracing**
 - Ethical/Privacy requirements (IEEE), Distributed Testbed (DHS)
- **AI/ML**
 - Policies, white papers, governance documents (WEF, IEEE)
- **Government 2.0**
 - Tech policies/Legislative language (Wyoming, EU, OECD, UK, WEF, NYU GovLab)
- **Information Security for Complex Systems**
 - Atlas of Risk Maps

Let's
work
together

- IRRI @ UW APL
- Scott L. David
- sldavid@uw.edu
- (m) 206-715-0859
- (Tw) @ScottLDavid