

From: Mike Bergman

Sent: Tuesday, May 16, 2023 1:26 PM

To: IOT AB

Subject:[IoTAB Subgroup: Sustainable and Critical Infrastructure]

Hi Pete,

Thanks for taking inputs on this recommendation. Here are the items I mentioned on the call.

1. Name of recommendation – “Smart City” is too specific (this was also mentioned by Benson and others). This proposal should be more generally about IoT.
2. CFO Act – Tying this to the Act brings in inappropriate agencies including OMB, International Development and NASA. This may be ameliorated by the change in title as in point #1. However, regardless of changes to the title, the list of agencies should be scrubbed for appropriateness.
3. No requirement for plan details – the Recommendation calls for a plan to be delivered in 90 days, but not what the plan should aim to accomplish.
4. No ongoing responsibilities – Other than the brief outline in the Justification section of the Recommendation, after the plan is delivered, there is no clear role for this C-suite individual.
5. No sunset – We should consider language in the Recommendation for a sunset of this position. If 24 new C-level jobs are to be created, how long is this requirement in place? If the agency doesn’t need it after, e.g., 5 years, they should be able to terminate the position. That suggests something like, “Agencies may reconsider the need for this position after a period of time, such as 5 years.”

---

Mike Bergman

Vice President, Technology & Standards

Consumer Technology Association, producer of CES®

CTA.tech | CES.tech